FD-73
(1-10-49)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

FORM No. 1
THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT CHICAGO

FILE NO.

REPORT MADE AT	DATE WHEN MADE	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE	REPORT MADE BY
ATIANTA	2/11/53	2/5/53	GEORGE H. TREADWELL LL

TITLE	CHARACTER OF CASE
LOUIS CAMPAGNA, was., et al	BRIBERY, PAROLE MATTER, FALSELY CLAIMING U. S. CITIZENSHIP

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

SAM DURKIN, Inmate No. 70032-A, USP, Atlanta, interviewed concerning his knowledge of manner in which subjects obtained paroles and as to identity of "the lawyer" and "GUSSIE". DURKIN denies identity of "the lawyer", states "GUSSIE", real name unknown, did operate the Kentucky Lounge Tavern, Western Avenue, Chicago, Ill. USP files re SAM DURKIN reviewed, only attorney visiting during previous incarceration USP, Atlanta, was WALTER CASEY, 1026 Third National Bank Building, Nashville, Tenn., date not recorded. Other attorneys of record PAUL LEVENFELD, of firm Stackler and Levenfeld, 33 North LaSalle, Chicago, and Mrs. FRANCES DWYER, Atlanta, Ga. LEVENFELD employed to handle personal business and to seek parole. Files contain considerable correspondence between the Warden, USP, Atlanta, LEVENFELD, and DURKIN. LEVENFELD also filed application to correspond with DURKIN as personal friend, claiming 8 yrs. acquaintance. LEVENFELD also by correspondence offered attorney Mrs. DWYER $350 fee to aid DURKIN. LEVENFELD presently representing SAM DURKIN. Names and addresses of persons corresponding with DURKIN while incarcerated USP, Atlanta, set forth. Members of U. S. Congress who had interceded for DURKIN set forth.

- RUC -

DETAILS AT ATIANTA, GEORGIA

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 3/14/95 BY SP5 a/as 361586

~~EXPEDITE PROCESSING~~

APPROVED AND FORWARDED:	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES
		58-2000-6424-37

COPIES OF THIS REPORT
4 Bureau (58-2000)
2 Chicago (58-194)
2 Springfield
2 Atlanta (58-62)

1 - 3-12
FEB 17 1953

RECORDED - 18

INDEXED - 18

PROPERTY OF FBI—This confidential report and its contents are loaned to you by the FBI and are not to be distributed outside of agency to which loaned.

AT 58-62

Files, United States Penitentiary, re SAM DURKIN, Register Number 70032-A, were reviewed. These records reveal that this subject's true name was SAM DRUIKIN, but this name was legally changed in 1945 to SAM DURKIN. Other aliases of record are ROBERT JOHNSON, SAM BERKES, SAM MARTIN, SAM MAKINS, R. J. JOHNSON, SAM FLOWERS and SAMUEL DRUIKIN.

The following persons are noted as visitors to SAM DURKIN during his previous period of incarceration in the United States Penitentiary, Atlanta, Georgia:

F. E. BOERNER, United States Immigration Service;
Mr. WALKER CASEY, Attorney at Law, 1026 Third National Bank Building, Nashville, Tennessee;
FRANCES DWYER, Attorney at Law, 604 Candler Building, Atlanta (3 visits);
Miss ZENA DURKIN, sister, 3922 W. Roosevelt Rd., Chicago, Illinois (3 visits);
G. H. TREADWELL, Special Agent, Federal Bureau of Investigation.

The dates of the above mentioned visits were inadvertently cut from the record when same was prepared for filing.

During the same period of incarceration, the following persons requested permission to correspond with SAM DURKIN, or DURKIN requested permission to correspond with them:

STANLEY PENDOWSKI, Route 1, Ridge Road, South Holland, Illinois.

The first letter written by PENDOWSKI was returned by the Warden but no copy retained. Reason for returning same was that PENDOWSKI discussed business matters, whereas approved only for personal correspondence. Chief of Police at South Holland, Illinois in answering form inquiry re PENDOWSKI advised Warden, USP, Atlanta, that PENDOWSKI operated a tavern in an unincorporated area, and that he formerly was a tavern operator of Calumet City, Illinois. No arrest record at South Holland.

Miss DOROTHY BRADY, 181 Ridge Road, Lansing, Illinois.

This individual answered form inquiry stating her desire to correspond with DURKIN, giving her age as twenty-three years, occupation waitress, unmarried, and that she became acquainted with DURKIN at Calumet City, Illinois.

Attorney-at-Law PAUL LEVENFELD, 33 North LaSalle Street, Chicago 2, Illinois, member of firm of Stackler and Levenfeld. This individual submitted necessary forms to be appointed DURKIN's attorney of record as of November 22, 1946. LEVENFELD employed by DURKIN for following

legal services: handling personal business; obtaining reduction of sentence or parole, fee stated as $250. Regarding payment of this fee, DURKIN endorsed form stating "fee arranged."

The file contains copy of a letter from Warden JOSEPH W. SANFORD, United States Penitentiary, Atlanta, to DURKIN reprimanding DURKIN for writing LEVENFELD to contact the Attorney General for the purpose of obtaining a transfer for him to an institution nearer his home. This was prior to LEVENFELD's employment as an attorney of record.

As of possible interest to this investigation, the following exchange of correspondence between the Warden, United States Penitentiary, Atlanta, and LEVENFELD is set forth:

On June 11, 1947, the Warden requested LEVENFELD to advise if funds he had sent to be credited to SAM DURKIN were derived from the resources of DURKIN or was it his own personal money he was sending DURKIN. This letter had reference to a personal check of Attorney PAUL LEVENFELD bearing number 1689 in the sum of $10.00 and drawn on the American National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago, and a U. S. Postal Money Order #11625181 in the sum of $10.00 from PAUL LEVENFELD to SAM DURKIN.

On June 16, 1947 PAUL LEVENFELD advised the Warden, United States Penitentiary, Atlanta, by letter as follows:

"Replying to your letter of June 12, 1947 regarding the above prisoner, please be advised that any funds sent to him are from my own personal money. I would like to know your regulation concerning this situation.

"Very truly yours,

"/s/ PAUL LEVENFELD "

On June 27, 1947, the Warden addressed a letter to Attorney PAUL LEVENFELD, acknowledging his letter re source of funds recently sent and stated that the $20.00 was being credited to DURKIN's account; however, he requested LEVENFELD to send no more money "as inmates are permitted to receive money only from approved social correspondents." The Warden explained to LEVENFELD that as an attorney of record he should not send money to DURKIN.

On July 9, 1947, Attorney PAUL LEVENFELD addressed a letter to the Warden [illegible]ollows:

[illegible]ear Mr. SANFORD:

[illegible]garding above, I wish to change my letter to you of June 16, [illegible]47, and advise you that in any instance where I forward money

to SAM DURKIN, it is from his own personal funds, and I would appreciate it if you would arrange to turn over to him the money which I have heretofore sent him and all future monies that will be sent.

"Please accept my thanks for your cooperation.

"/s/ PAUL LEVENFELD "

In reply to the above letter, the Warden wrote as follows:

"Dear Sir:

"I don't see how we can tell whose money it is you are sending the above named. First you say it is your own, and now you say you wish to change your letter of June 16, 1947 and state that any money you forward is from DURKIN's own personal funds.

"You were retained by the inmate to handle his business and to obtain reduction of sentence or parole. Later subject decided to obtain the services of Mr. WALTER CASEY to replace you in connection with efforts to effect his release. This leaves you the matter only of taking care of his business affairs, which he is not permitted under departmental regulation to carry on himself.

"DURKIN's business affairs have to a large extent been outside the pale, and there is some evidence of his association with you during the time he was stealing cars and renting them in New Orleans, although, as I understand it, you denied any knowledge of DURKIN's designation of you to receive monies as result of his criminal activities.

"Be that as it may, DURKIN will have to designate someone to take care of his business affairs by issuance of a power of attorney to you or someone else of his own choice. If matters affecting his holdings require correspondence, we will approve. In the meantime, please confine your correspondence to business matters.

"I feel it best to advise you to send no further funds to DURKIN until such time as you can show they are without doubt his own. No action is contemplated with respect to funds already impounded.

"Sincerely,

"/s/ JOSEPH W. SANFORD
Warden "

On May 31, 1950, following SAM DURKIN's recommitment to the United States Penitentiary, Attorney PAUL LEVENFELD was added to DURKIN's approved correspondence list as a personal friend. LEVENFELD replied to a form inquiry, stating that he desired to correspond with DURKIN, that he had known him for eight years, and considered him a personal friend. In this letter, LEVENFELD gave his home address as 653 Gordon Terrace, Chicago, Illinois. He further stated that he was thirty-nine years of age and not related to DURKIN. A form inquiry was addressed by the Warden to the Chicago Police Department re LEVENFELD, and a reply was received that PAUL LEVENFELD was a practicing attorney of Chicago and had been so engaged in the previous fourteen years, and had no arrest record.

On November 21, 1950, Attorney PAUL LEVENFELD executed necessary forms to have himself made attorney of record for SAM DURKIN in an action to determine the validity of commitment order and to possibly obtain parole, and to have action brought to have his case reviewed in the original trial jurisdiction, fee to be charged $250.

On June 20, 1951, Warden WILLIAM H. HYATT, United States Penitentiary, Atlanta, returned a letter written by Attorney PAUL LEVENFELD to SAM DURKIN, in which LEVENFELD requested DURKIN to solicit clients for him among the Penitentiary inmates. LEVENFELD is still the attorney of record for SAM DURKIN.

Mr. VITO NOCCO, a friend, 3759 Archer Avenue, Chicago, Illinois, answered a form inquiry on December 13, 1946 stating he desired to correspond with SAM DURKIN. He stated he was twenty-seven years of age and had known DURKIN for three years. He said he became acquainted with DURKIN "driving a truck." NOCCO gave his occupation as truck driver, and said he was not related to DURKIN. The Warden forwarded a form inquiry to the Chief of Police, Chicago, re NOCCO and was advised that NOCCO had been interviewed by a member of the Police Department, and when informed of the location of DURKIN and the offense for which he was committed, he then stated he did not desire to correspond. This form also stated that NOCCO was also employed by Motor Express Company of Indiana as a chauffeur.

Miss DONETTA GIBBS, 647 West State Street, Calumet City, Illinois, addressed a letter to the Warden of the United States Penitentiary, Atlanta, on January 28, 1947 stating that she desired to correspond with SAM DURKIN "as I am connected with him through some personal business matters."

SAM DURKIN requested permission to correspond with Miss DOROTHY YOUNG, 4141 South Halstead Street, Chicago, Illinois, on October 20, 1947. The Chief of Police, Chicago, in reply to a form inquiry, advised the Warden that Miss YOUNG had been interviewed and stated she was then married and did not desire to correspond.

AT 58-62

At the request of SAM DURKIN, Warden JOSEPH W. SANFORD addressed a letter on March 13, 1947 to Mr. WALKER CASEY, Attorney-at-Law, 1026 Third National Bank Building, Nashville, Tennessee, advising him that DURKIN wished to have him made his attorney of record, and forwarded Mr. CASEY the necessary forms to be executed and returned provided he desired to represent DURKIN.

On March 13, 1947, Attorney WALKER CASEY, mentioned above, submitted the forms referred to, stating his desire to represent SAM DURKIN for the following legal services: to obtain his release by any legal means, fee $250, paid by friends outside, and $750, to be paid when and if DURKIN should be released.

The following is a copy of an original letter from Attorney WALKER CASEY to SAM DURKIN, which letter DURKIN was permitted to read and it was not delivered to him as the Warden did not consider it appropriate for DURKIN to have same in his possession:

"Dear Sir:

"I have just received your letter dated Feb. 17, and I don't blame you for feeling blue, for I have only to put myself in your place and I know exactly how I would feel.

"When I took your case last April 14, 1947, Judge T. WEBBER WILSON was the Chairman of the Parole Board, which was composed of himself, Mr. REIDY and another member. Judge WILSON died last month, January 30, 1948, and the other members of the Board have all resigned. This of course was most unusual in that Judge WILSON and the others had been on the Board for many years. I could not foresee this change and neither could anybody else.

"The Parole Board is now headed by Mr. DANIEL F. LYONS, who is an excellent man with many years of experience under the Attorney General, however, the position is new to him and, as I have written you before, nobody criticizes anybody for not letting an applicant out, but if they should happen to let out the wrong applicant, it is in all the papers.

"This being a presidential election year, all the Democratic actions will be criticized by the Republicans, and for this reason I think that year is going to be extra hard to get paroles granted.

"Right at this time, the Department in Washington is being criticized for letting out LOUIS CAMPAGNA, former CAPONE gangster. He paid his attorney $10,000, I believe.

"Personally, I can see no reason for having a parole law on the books if the majority of applicants eligible are not granted paroles, if

there isn't some good reason for not granting them. In your case the only thing against you was the fact that you had violated a state parole years ago.

"I will be in Washington again soon and I will take up the question as to why you were denied parole and see what can be done. You are eligible now and can be released at any time the Board feels favorable.

"I know it is hard, but keep your spirits up and your record clean, and it won't be as long as it has been.

"Most sincerely your friend,

"/s/ WALKER CASEY "

It should be noted that DURKIN received notice that his parole was denied on February 6, 1948, and parole was never granted to him during his previous period of incraceration in the United States Penitentiary, Atlanta. He was conditionally released at the expiration of his sentence with time off for good behavior deducted as is provided by law.

On March 29, 1948, SAM DURKIN requested that the Warden forward necessary forms to Mrs. FRANCES CRAIGHEAD DWYER, Attorney At Law, 604 Connally Building, Atlanta, Georgia, in order that she be made an attorney of record to represent him.

Mrs. DWYER executed the necessary forms and returned them to the United States Penitentiary, stating that her fee would be $25.00 for an initial interview to ascertain if she would be interested in the case. She was granted the interview.

On April 6, 1948, Mrs. DWYER, on the stationery of the law firm of Craighead, Dwyer and Lavender, addressed the following letter to SAM DURKIN:

"Please be advised that I have today written to your lawyer, Mr. LEVENFELD, and indicated that I should have an additional $100 as retainer before I can go further into your case.

"Upon my return from Washington around May 12th, I will advise you of the results of my inquiry there in your behalf, and of the amount of my fee for handling completely your application for parole.

"From your past experience with attorneys, I feel sure you understand that I can't spend the time which would be required in the analysis and study of this matter without having some retainer in advance.

"Mr. LEVENFELD has offered to advance $350 in your behalf if something can be done. I have never guaranteed results to any client, and I

prefer to receive the $100 additional retainer and to advise you later whether it would be worthwhile to pay me further funds as attorneys fees.

"Very truly yours,

"/s/ Mrs. FRANCES DWYER "

SAM DURKIN requested permission to correspond with HAROLD KEATING, 7834 Throup Street, Chicago, Illinois. The Chief of Police in Chicago in response to form inquiry re KEATING, advised the Warden that KEATING had resided at the address shown for the past twenty-two years, that he was employed by the American Can Company as a machinist, had no arrest record, and was well regarded.

DURKIN's file contained a copy of a letter dated January 14, 1947 from Mr. JAMES V. BENNETT, Director, Bureau of Prisons, to Honorable MARTIN GORSKI, House of Representatives, Washington, D.C., acknowledging Representative GORSKI's request that SAM DURKIN be transferred from the United States Penitentiary, Atlanta, to the United States Penitentiary, Terre Haute, Indiana. Mr. BENNETT in this letter advised Representative GORSKI that he had looked into the matter and that a transfer of that nature was not indicated at the present time.

This file also contains copy of a letter dated December 26, 1946 from JAMES B. BENNETT, Director, Bureau of Prisons, to Honorable C. WAYLAND BROOKS, United States Senate, Washington, D. C., acknowledging his letter of December 20, 1946 in regard to transferring SAM DURKIN from the United States Penitentiary, Atlanta, to the U. S. Penitentiary, Terre Haute, Indiana, or to the Public Health Service Hospital, Lexington, Kentucky. Mr. BENNETT advised the Senator that he had communicated with the Warden, United States Penitentiary, Atlanta, and had found that such a transfer was not indicated at this time as DURKIN was not eligible for the institutions mentioned.

There was also in the file copy of a letter from Mr. JAMES V. BENNETT, Director, Bureau of Prisons, to Honorable JAMES DOMENGEAUX, House of Representatives, Washington, D. C., dated May 2, 1947, advising him of DURKIN's physical condition, and stating that a transfer to another institution was not indicated at that time.

SAM DURKIN, Inmate #70032-A, was interviewed, and questioned concerning his knowledge of the manner in which subjects obtained paroles, and as to the identity of the "lawyer" and "GUSSIE". DURKIN denied that he had any knowledge whatever concerning the manner in which subjects this case obtained paroles. He likewise denied knowledge of the identity of the "lawyer", and stated that he did not know what lawyers represented subjects. He likewise denied that he had ever spoken to CHARLES GIOE or LOUIS CAMPAGNE while they were incarcerated at the U. S. Penitentiary, Atlanta.

DURKIN, when questioned concerning the identity of "GUSSIE", stated that he did know an individual known as "GUSSIE", who at one time operated the Kentucky Lounge Tavern on Western Avenue, Chicago, Illinois. DURKIN stated that "GUSSIE" is probably the individual referred to as he is a known underworld character in Chicago.

DURKIN stated that he had no information concerning subjects, and intimated that if he did have such information he would not reveal same to any law enforcement officer.

- R U C -

AT 58-62

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

Two copies of this report are being designated for the Springfield Division in view of the possibility that additional investigation predicated upon information in this report may be requested by the Office of Origin.

LEADS

THE CHICAGO DIVISION

AT CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

Will consider the possibility that Attorney PAUL LEVENFELD is probably identical with "the lawyer" mentioned in the report of reference.

Will consider the advisability of contacting the various persons who corresponded with or requested to correspond with DURKIN while he was originally incarcerated in the USP, Atlanta, Georgia.

Will consider the advisability of interviewing the various attorneys or record as set forth in the details of this report.

REFERENCE: Report of SA JOHN P. GRANFIELD, Springfield, dated 1/27/53, and teletype Chicago to Atlanta 2/3/53.

FD-36

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

AIRTEL

Transmit the following ~~teletype~~ message to:

SAC, CHICAGO MARCH 11, 1953

DIRECTOR, FBI (58-2000) (AMSD)

LOUIS CAMPAGNA, WAS, ET AL, BRIBERY, PAROLE MATTER, FCUSC, PERJURY. REBUAIRTEL FEBRUARY TWENTY SEVEN AND MARCH FIVE LAST. CHICAGO UNABLE TO COMPLETE SUMMARY REPORT IN TIME TO REACH BUREAU BY DEADLINE. COVER LETTER AND SUMMARY REPORT OF SA JOHN R. PHILIPS, JR., PREPARED IN ACCORDANCE WITH REAIRTEL BEING TYPED AND WILL BE SUBMITTED MARCH TWELVE OR THIRTEEN NEXT.

MALONE

JRP:vrm
58-194

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 3/14/95 BY SP5a/as

RECORDED-77 58-2000-2438

MAR 13 1953

68 MAR 23 1953

cc: Mr. Hoover

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Director, FBI (58-2000) DATE: 3/5/53

FROM : SAC, Atlanta (58-62)

SUBJECT: LOUIS CAMPAGNA, was., ET AL
BRIBERY, PAROLE MATTER,
FALSELY CLAIMING U. S.
CITIZENSHIP

Rebulet 2/27/53, calling attention to the omission of the interview of SAM DURKIN from the details of the report of SA GEORGE H. TREADWELL, Atlanta, dated 2/11/53.

Attached are copies of corrected page #8 and #8A.

It is regretted that this error occurred, and care will be taken in the future to avoid recurrence.

GHT:ml

Encl. (2)

cc: Chicago (58-194)(Encl. 2)
Springfield "

1 ENCL

RECORDED - 56 58-2000-2439
MAR 17 1953

3-11-53

3/14/95 SPS C/aw

79 MAR 24 1953

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1
THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT CHICAGO

REPORT MADE AT	DATE WHEN MADE	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE	REPORT MADE BY
OMAHA	3-13-53	3-11,12-53	EDWARD A. DONAHOE sh

TITLE	CHARACTER OF CASE
LOUIS CAMPAGNA, Was., Et Al	BRIBERY, PAROLE MATTER, FALSELY CLAIMING U. S. CITIZENSHIP; PERJURY

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS: Woodman of the World Life Insurance Company, Omaha, Nebr., advised that application and correspondence files on all paid death claims have been destroyed up through 1937. Their death claim register indicates W.O.W. Life Insurance Certificate U405102E issued to ONOFRIO GIOE in the amount of $2000.00 was paid as a death claim upon death of the member 6-5-34, by check dated 7-10-34 in the amount of $2091.38. This check was made payable to the beneficiary ROSE GIOE, then residing at 397 West Grand Avenue, Chicago, Illinois. Certificate of Insurance was issued to ONOFRIO GIOE August 18, 1923 and reflects his birth date as February 14, 1872, place not shown. No record of policy issued to ROSALIA GIOE as this company does not insure women.

-RUC-

DETAILS: At Omaha, Nebraska 3/14/95 SPSC/aw

W. L. ANDERSON, Woodman of the World Life Insurance System, Insurance Building, 17th and Farnam Streets, advised that all files containing applications and correspondence on paid death claims have been destroyed up through 1937. From examination of the death claim register, he advised that their records reflect that Certificate No. U405102E was issued to ONOFRIO GIOE in the amount of $2000.00 on August 18, 1923 and was paid as a death claim

APPROVED AND FORWARDED:	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES
		57 + 2nnd + 24 40

COPIES OF THIS REPORT

4-Bureau (58-2000)(Air Mail)
3-Chicago (58-194)(Enc.)
1-Omaha (58-30)

RECORDED - 9

PERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF TO WHICH L

MAR 24 1953

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1952—O-210616 16—59255-2

upon death of the member June 5, 1934, by check G26029, dated July 10, 1934 in the amount of $2091.38. This check was made payable to ROSE GIOE, beneficiary, who then resided at 397 West Grand Avenue, Chicago, Illinois. The birth date of ONOFRIO GIOE was recorded as February 14, 1872, place not shown. Mr. ANDERSON advised that their company did not insure women and their firm had no record of any policy issued to ROSALIA GIOE.

There is being transmitted as an enclosure to the Chicago Division, a photostatic copy of the above described check, which shows an endorsement by ROSE GIOE in the form of an X, and which is witnessed by the following individuals:

ANTHONY GIOE

ROBERT PETRONE
452 North Elizabeth Street

FRANK CERONE
1249 West Ohio Street

ROBERT PETRONE

ENCLOSURE TO CHICAGO: Check No. 62069 dated July 10, 1934, drawn by the Woodman of the World Life Insurance Company on the Omaha National Bank, payable to ROSE GIOE in the amount of $2091.38.

-RUC-

OM 58-30

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

LEADS

THE CHICAGO DIVISION

At Chicago, Illinois

The attached check is being furnished the Chicago Division for their information and possible use in interviewing persons named as witnesses to the endorsement for possible information with instant matter.

REFERENCE: Chicago air-tel dated 3-7-53.

FD-36

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

AIRTEL

Transmit the following ~~teletype~~ message to:

FBI, LOS ANGELES 3/18/53

DIRECTOR, FBI AND SACS CHICAGO, DALLAS

LOUIS CAMPAGNA, WAS., ET AL. BRIBERY, PAROLE MATTERS, FCUSC. BUFILE FIFTY EIGHT DASH TWO THOUSAND. REMYAIRTEL MARCH NINE LAST. ATTEMPTS TO ARRANGE INTERVIEW WITH BILLIE ROMA, L. A., UNSUCCESSFUL TO DATE. NEITHER SHE NOR HER DAUGHTER WERE LOCATED AT THEIR APARTMENT DURING LAST THREE DAYS WHEN REPEATED ATTEMPTS TO INTERVIEW THEM WERE MADE. DAILY EFFORTS TO LOCATE MRS. ROMA WILL CONTINUE UNTIL INTERVIEW CAN BE ACCOMPLISHED. REPORT WILL THEN BE SUBMITTED.

CARSON

AM
LJL/sae
58-125
cc: 2 - Chicago (58-194) (AM)
2 - Dallas (58-59) (AM)

AIR M JL

3/14/53 SPSC/aw

58-1000-2441

MAR 24 1953

RECORDED - 113 24

Approved: 3/17 1953 CAC
Special Agent in Charge

Sent_____M Per_____

FBI CHICAGO 3-19-53 11-49 AM MLL

DIRECTOR AND SAC SEATTLE URGENT

LOUIS CAMPAGNA, WAS., ETAL, BRIBERY, PAROLE MATTER, FCUSC, PERJURY. REBUTEL MARCH NINETEEN LAST. RETEL INSTRUCTS CHICAGO SUTEL SEATTLE PERTINENT INFO TO INTERVIEW MRS. HOLMLUND AS TO DATA FURNISHED BY DANIEL LYONS. CHICAGO AIRTEL TO BUREAU, SEATTLE, AND WFO MARCH EIGHTEEN LAST ENCLOSED COPY WFO LETTER SIXTEENTH LAST TO SEATTLE WITH REQUEST FOR INTER VIEW MRS. HOLMLUND. UPON RECEIPT CHICAGO AIRTEL, SEATTLE EXPEDITE INTERVIEW AND SUREP AMSD FOUR COPIES TO BUREAU. CHICAGO ORIGIN.

MALONE

END AND CK PLS

12-50 PM OK FBI WA NM

RECORDED - 145

MAR 24 1953

53 APR 3 1953

3/14/95 SPSC/aw

The Attorney General

March 24, 1953

Director, FBI

[redacted]

3/14/95 SP5C/cw

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1
THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT [illegible] FILE NO.

REPORT MADE AT	DATE WHEN MADE	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE	REPORT MADE BY
[illegible]	3-23-53	3-12,20-53	[illegible]
TITLE			**CHARACTER OF CASE**
[illegible]			[illegible]

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS: Dr. [illegible], Chicago, Ill. physician vacationing at [illegible] Beach, Fla., verified that he had been in attendance at deaths of parents of [illegible]. He furnished no pertinent data regarding background of [illegible] family.

- 6UC -

REFERENCE: [illegible]

Doctor [illegible] C. [illegible], Chicago, Illinois physician presently vacationing at the Dorset Hotel, 6365 Collins Avenue, Miami Beach, Florida, was interviewed on March 19, 1953 by [illegible] and the writer. He verified that he had been the physician for the family of subject [illegible] and that he had been in attendance in 1934 when [illegible] passed away, and in 194[illegible] when [illegible] died. They were the parents of [illegible].

From the time he began practicing medicine in 1[illegible] until he left for nineteen months Army service during [illegible] 1, Doctor [illegible] practiced at 1[illegible] East Grand Avenue, Chicago, Illinois. He later maintained offices at Racine and Grand Streets; 75[illegible] Green Avenue, and in the Security Bank Building, Milwaukee and Bank Streets, all Chicago, Illinois.

Doctor [illegible] stated that he had no social or business dealings with [illegible] and his only associations

APPROVED AND FORWARDED:	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES

COPIES OF THIS REPORT
4 - Bureau (5[illegible]-2[illegible]) ( )
3 - Chicago (58-19[illegible]) ( )
1 - Miami (58-39)

3/14/95 SP5a/cw

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 16—59255-1

[illegible] were in connection with periodic health visits for minor ailments, such as colds. He stated that he did not know [illegible], who was born and lived all of her family knew.

No specific data regarding the parents of [illegible] was known to Doctor [illegible], who stated he believed they were Sicilians. This was based merely on their dialect, which he recalls was peculiar to Sicilian people. No data as to the employments of the parents of [illegible], their education, citizenship status, or other personal matter was related by Doctor [illegible]. He said he recalled them merely as one of numerous Italian families he had as patients in the neighborhood and stated there was never any close associations with any member of the [illegible] family.

In discussing [illegible], a brother of [illegible], Doctor [illegible] recalled that he had been employed as a motion picture operator, but could give no further specific information in this regard. He also recalled that [illegible] had been operated on several years ago for a gastric ulcer. He believed the operation was performed by a doctor named (phonetic) [illegible] at the Billings Hospital, Chicago, Illinois.

Further information was furnished by Doctor [illegible] that he had treated the children of [illegible] by his first wife. He could not identify her or her children and could furnish no data as to their whereabouts. He stated that he has not talked to [illegible] in several years.

Doctor [illegible] was questioned as to whether he had been acquainted with [illegible], a Chicago hoodlum. He said that Dedub had formerly operated a horse race book next door to his office at Illinois and Ogden streets, Chicago, Illinois, and that about five years ago Dedub was found in a trunk in California, where he had apparently been murdered.

No records were maintained by Doctor [illegible] in connection with his medical practice which would give any background data on patients.

- WC -

-2-

[illegible] 56-69

[illegible]

- [illegible] -

[illegible]

At Chicago, Illinois

ill, if this investigation has not already been conducted:

Consider checking on the alleged employment of [illegible] as a motion picture operator, and if such employment can be verified, check available publications, union records, etc., which might furnish family background data.

Endeavor to identify Doctor [illegible] (phonetic), who allegedly operated on [illegible], and if located, interview him along the same lines as the instant interview with Doctor [illegible] for possible pertinent background data.

Consider checking the records of the Illinois Hospital for any background data which may have been obtained at the time of [illegible]'s operation.

Endeavor to locate a record of [illegible]'s original marriage. If he was married in the Catholic Church, it would have been necessary for him to produce a baptismal record of his birth before such a marriage could be performed.

[illegible]: Chicago airtel to Miami dated 2-17-53.

12734

Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation

Warren Olney III, Assistant Attorney General,
Criminal Division

WO:mmr

Louis Campagna, et al.;
Bribery, parole matter, falsely claiming citizenship, perjury

123-51-13

Mr. Hoover

Reference is made to your memorandum addressed to the Attorney General on the above subject under date of March 24, 1953, to which is attached the summary report of Special Agent John L. Phillips, Jr., dated March 13, 1953.

In the second paragraph on page 38 of Mr. Phillips' report, Phillip D'Andrea is referred to as "now deceased." As a matter of general interest, I would like to know where and when Phillip D'Andrea died. I recollect that only a few months ago he was reported to me as being engaged in the operation of a gasoline service station in the neighborhood of Corona, San Bernardino County, California.*

Beginning on page 36 of Mr. Phillips' report certain allegations of bribery, influence and misconduct relating to paroles of the subject of the investigation are set forth, which allegations are attributed to Allen Bernard, 413 East 52nd Street, New York, New York. According to the report, these allegations were received by the Bureau in the form of a written memorandum from Robert A. Collier, Chief Counsel for the Chelf Committee, setting forth what Bernard had supplied to Collier concerning this matter. Although the Bureau has evidently investigated Bernard's allegation, it is not clear to me whether the Bureau agents have ever interviewed Allen Bernard in person, and if not, why not. I would like to be informed about this.

* Being checked
** Bernard interviewed } 5-26-53

3/14/95 SPSalaw)

STANDARD FORM NO

Office Memorandum · UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (58-2000) DATE: March 11, 1953
Attention FBI Laboratory
FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (58-194)

SUBJECT: LOUIS CAMPAGNA, was.;
CHARLES GIOE, was.;
PAUL DE LUCIA, was.
BRIBERY; PAROLE MATTERS;
FALSELY CLAIMING U. S. CITIZENSHIP

3/14/95 Sp5Ca/CM

163353

Investigation is being currently conducted regarding subjects CAMPAGNA, GIOE, and PAUL DE LUCIA concerning possible bribery being used in obtaining their paroles on August 13, 1947; possible parole violations, and the possibility that CHARLES GIOE may have falsely claimed U. S. citizenship.

The above subjects were convicted in U. S. District Court at New York City on December 22 and 23, 1943, for violation of the Anti-Racketeering Statute and sentenced to serve ten years in Federal penitentiary. They were paroled on August 13, 1947. Since their parole, there has been considerable publicity from the press concerning these subjects, who are reportedly leaders of the old "Capone syndicate in Chicago."

Cook County, Illinois Bureau of Vital Statistics records for the period January through June, 1904, Book No. 25 (A-K), reflects on line 6, page 179 that CHARLES GIOE was born February 29, 1904 at 191 Morgan Street, Chicago, Illinois, to ROSE GIOE, maiden name D'AMATO, and ONOFIRO GIOE, both of whom were born in July. The above is the last entry on page 179.

According to [redacted] Chicago, Illinois, the above book has been previously submitted to the FBI Laboratory for examination and comparison with other entries on the page. According to [redacted] this examination determined that the entry concerning CHARLES GIOE was made by a person using a different pen and ink than that for the preceding and subsequent entries appearing in that particular volume of birth records. According to [redacted] it was also noted that the person preparing the entry in question attempted to copy the handwriting characteristics present in other writing on the same page, which "a person authorized to make such entries would have no occasion to do."

b7D

SE 42 - 2000 - 2445

RECORDED-52

INDEXED-52

MG/blj
Encl. 24
AMSD

REGISTERED MAIL

EX. - 107

INDEXED

DIRECTOR, FBI

RE: LOUIS CAMPAGNA, was., et al.
BRIBERY; PAROLE MATTERS; FALSELY
CLAIMING U. S. CITIZENSHIP

INS has received through confidential sources copies of a birth certificate believed to be identical to subject GIOE. This certificate reflects spelling of subject's name in Italy as "GIUE" and shows that one CIRO GIUE was born March 9, 1907 at Marineo, Palermo, Italy, the son of ONOFIRO and ROSALIA GIPPETTO. The record as to the individual believed to be the father of this subject reflects that ONOFIRO GIUE was born February 11, 1856 at Marineo, Italy. Another record was located in Italy showing the clerk of Vital Statistics for the Commune of Marineo, Province of Palermo, certified that from the marriage of ONOFIRO GIUE and ROSALIA GIPPETTO, daughter of SALVATORE and the late ANTONINA D'AMATO, was born CIRO GIUE, born March 9, 1907 at Marineo, Italy.

New York Port of Entry records reflect one ONOFIRO GIUE, Manifest No. 15-185-2364, entered the Port of New York City on February 20, 1908 via S. S. San Giorgio. He was shown to be an Italian citizen born in Marineo, Palermo, Italy. He was accompanied by his wife, ROSALIA GIPPETTO and a son, CIRO GIUE, age 10 months. It appears possible that the above referred to ONOFIRO GIUE and ROSALIA GIPPETTO are the parents of CHARLES GIOE and that CIRO GIUE may be the true name of subject CHARLES GIOE.

Investigation conducted by the Chicago Office reflects a delayed series certificate of birth concerning CHARLES GIOE was located at Cook County, Illinois Bureau of Vital Statistics. This delayed certificate is dated September 30, 1925, was signed by ONOFIRO GIOE, father, and shows CHARLES GIOE was born February 6, 1904 at Chicago, Illinois. In addition to the above, a delayed series certificate of birth, dated June 12, 1925, was located. This delayed certificate reflects ANTHONY GIOE was born on February 6, 1909 at Chicago, Illinois and bears the signature of one CHARLES GIOE, brother of child.

There was also located a photostatic hand-printed affidavit regarding ANTHONY GIOE's delayed certificate of birth which is dated March 15, 1927 and signed by CHARLES V. GIOE. This photostat is notarized by one JOHN E. DRAGONETTI, Notary Public.

The Springfield Office located at State Department of Public Health, Division of Vital Statistics, Springfield, Illinois,

DIRECTOR, FBI

RE: LOUIS CAMPAGNA, was., et al.
BRIBERY; PAROLE MATTERS;
FALSELY CLAIMING U. S.
CITIZENSHIP

a supporting affidavit concerning CHARLES GIOE's delayed series certificate of birth which was signed by an ONOFIRO GIOE and notarized by one JOHN E. DRAGONETTI.

As additional information to assist the Laboratory, school records at Chicago reflect CHARLES GIOE was born February 9, 1907 in Italy and February 6, 1905 at Palermo, Italy. School records concerning TONY GIOE reflect he was born September 8, 1910 and September 8, 1911 at New York City. A baptismal record for one ANTONINO GIOE was located at New York City which reflects he was born September 13, 1911 at New York City to ONOFIRO GIOE and ROSALIA SPETTA. ANTHONY GIOE, brother of subject GIOE, has advised during an interview with agents of the Chicago Office that he is identical with ANTONINO GIOE referred to above.

During September, 1950, subject CHARLES GIOE, in testimony under oath before the Kefauver Committee hearings, testified that he was born at Chicago, Illinois. In this regard, possible perjury proceedings are being considered.

The following items are being transmitted to the Laboratory for handwriting examination and comparison:

1. 4 photostatic copies of Certificate of Birth, D.S. 17874, for CHARLES GIOE, dated September 30, 1925, signed ONOFIRO GIOE.

2. 4 photostatic copies of Certificate of Birth, D.S. 16734, for ANTHONY GIOE, dated June 12, 1925, signed CHARLES GIOE.

3. 4 photostatic copies of State of Illinois Department of Public Health, Division of Vital Statistics, affidavit concerning ANTHONY GIOE, D.S. 16734, signed by CHARLES GIOE and attested to on June 12, 1925 by JOHN E. DRAGONETTI, Notary Public.

4. 4 photostatic copies of hand-printed affidavit dated March 15, 1927, signed CHARLES V. GIOE, notarized by JOHN E. DRAGONETTI.

DIRECTOR, FBI

RE: LOUIS CAMPAGNA, was., et al.
BRIBERY; PAROLE MATTERS; FALSELY
CLAIMING U. S. CITIZENSHIP

5. 4 photographic copies of U.S.A. Declaration of Intention, No. 124134, dated June 20, 1921, signed ONOFIRO GIOE.

6. 4 photostatic copies of State of Illinois Department of Public Health, Division of Vital Statistics affidavit concerning CHARLES GIOE, D.S. 17874, signed ONOFIRO GIOE and attested to on September 30, 1925 by JOHN E. DRAGONETTI, Notary Public.

7. 2 photostatic copies of CHARLES GIOE's known handwriting as reflected on his Department of Justice Penal and Correctional Institution's monthly parole report dated October 1, 1947.

8. 2 photostatic copies of CHARLES GIOE's monthly parole report dated October, 1947.

9. 2 photostatic copies of CHARLES GIOE's monthly parole report dated December 4, 1947.

10. 2 photostatic copies of CHARLES GIOE's monthly parole report dated December 5, 1947.

11. 2 photostatic copies of CHARLES GIOE's monthly parole report dated February 2, 1948.

12. 2 photostatic copies of CHARLES GIOE's monthly parole report dated February 6, 1948.

13. 2 photostatic copies of CHARLES GIOE's monthly parole report dated May 4, 1948.

14. 2 photostatic copies of CHARLES GIOE's monthly parole report dated June 29, 1948.

15. 2 photostatic copies of CHARLES GIOE's monthly parole report dated January, 1949.

16. 2 photostatic copies of CHARLES GIOE's monthly parole report dated February, 1949.

DIRECTOR, FBI

RE: LOUIS CAMPAGNA, was., et al.
BRIBERY; PAROLE MATTERS; FALSELY
CLAIMING U. S. CITIZENSHIP

17. 2 photostatic copies of CHARLES GIOE's monthly parole report consisting of two pages, dated April 29, 1949.

18. 2 photostatic copies of CHARLES GIOE's monthly parole report dated March, 1949.

19. 2 photostatic copies of CHARLES GIOE's monthly parole report dated December 31, 1948.

20. 3 photographic copies of Registration Card, D.S.S. Form 1, Order No. 2392, signed ANTHONY GIOE (reportedly known handwriting of ANTHONY GIOE).

21. 3 photographic copies of the letter to Selective Service, Local Board No. 42, dated May 19, 1943, signed ANTHONY S. GIOE (reportedly known handwriting of ANTHONY GIOE).

22. 3 photographic copies of letter received by Local Board No. 42, undated, stamped received November 6, 1943 from ANTHONY S. GIOE (reportedly known handwriting of ANTHONY GIOE).

23. 3 photographic copies of note stamped May 27, 1943 by Local Board 42, signed ANTHONY GIOE (reportedly known handwriting of ANTHONY GIOE).

24. 3 photographic copies of pages 2, 4, and 5 of statements of registrants, Series 1 - Identification for ANTHONY SAM GIOE (reportedly known handwriting of ANTHONY SAM GIOE).

ANTHONY GIOE has advised that his father, ONOFIRO GIOE, deceased 1934, had a life insurance policy with Woodmen of the World, and that his mother, ROSALIA GIOE, deceased 1940, had a life insurance policy with Metropolitan Life Insurance Company.

The Chicago Office by airtel to the Bureau, New York, and Omaha on March 7, 1953, requested the New York and Omaha Offices to attempt to obtain original life insurance applications for ROSALIA GIOE, was., ONOFIRO GIOE, was., and any other known members of the GIOE family, which may be located at home offices

of Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, New York City, or home office of Woodmen of the World Company at Omaha, Nebraska. The New York and Omaha Office have been requested to obtain copies of original applications for life insurance, referred to above, and transmit same to the Laboratory for handwriting comparisons, in the event same are located.

The Laboratory is requested to conduct the following examinations of transmitted items:

1. Determine whether known handwriting specimens of subject CHARLES GIOE appearing in items 7 through 19 (parole reports of CHARLES GIOE) are identical with signature of CHARLES GIOE appearing on items 2, 3, and signature CHARLES V. GIOE appearing on item 4.

2. Determine whether known specimens of CHARLES GIOE's handwriting appearing on items 7 through 19 are identical with signature ONOFIRO GIOE appearing on items 1 and 6.

3. Determine whether signature of ONOFIRO GIOE appearing on item 5 is identical with signatures of ONOFIRO GIOE appearing on items 1 and 6.

4. In the event New York and/or Omaha Offices locate and transmit specimens of ONOFIRO GIOE's handwriting appearing upon insurance applications, compare same with signatures of ONOFIRO GIOE appearing on items 1, 5, and 6.

5. Determine whether handwriting specimens of ANTHONY GIOE appearing on items 20 through 24 are identical with handwriting appearing on items 1, 2, 3, 4, and 6.

6. Determine whether signature of JOHN E. DRAGONETTI appearing on items 3, 4, and 6 may be identical with handwriting of person filling out items on 1, 2, 3, and 6.

The Bureau has requested that a prosecutive summary report concerning instant investigation be submitted to reach the Bureau by March 12, 1953. Bureau communication requests this report be submitted even though contemplated handwriting examinations are not yet completed.

DIRECTOR, FBI

RE: LOUIS CAMPAGNA, was., et al.
BRIBERY; PAROLE MATTERS; FALSELY
CLAIMING U. S. CITIZENSHIP

The Laboratory is requested to expedite results of handwriting examinations and submit results to Chicago.

The name ONOFRIO appearing in the body of this letter is shown as being spelled ONOFIRO. The correct spelling is ONOFRIO. However, in the interest of economy, and in an effort to expedite transmittal of this letter, the name ONOFIRO is not being corrected in the body of the letter.

MARCH 18, 1953

SAC, CHICAGO DEFERRED

2446

RECORDED-52

LOUIS CAMPAGNA, WAS., FAL, BRIBERY, PROLF MATTER, POUSC.

REFWFO L/T SIXTEENTH LAST CONCERNING INTERVIEW WITH DANIEL LYONS. SUTEL SEATTLE PERTINENT INFO TO INTERVIEW MRS. HOLMLUND, NEE NUNNEKAMP, AND SEATTLE SUBMIT REPORT AMSD.

HOOVER

CC: 2-SEATTLE (AIR MAIL)

WRH:enm

3/14/95 SPSC/CW

Nolson
Ladd
Nichols
Belmont
Tele.
Alvin
Mohr
Boen
Trot
Rosen
Tamm
Mr. Ha.
Gurnea
Sizoo
Winterrowd
Tele. Room
Holloman
Gandy

APR 3 1953

FBI WASH DC 3-19-53 2-03 AM LEA

SAC CHICAGO DEFERRED

LOUIS CAMPAGNA, WAS., ET AL, BRIBERY, PAROLE MATTER, FCUSC. RE WFO LET SIXTEENTH LAST CONCERNING INTERVIEW WITH DANIEL LYONS. SUTEL SEATTLE PERTINENT INFO TO INTERVIEW MRS. HOLMLUND, NEE NUNNEKAMP, AND SEATTLE SUBMIT REPORT AMSD.

HOOVER

END ACK PLS

OK FBI CG CA

DSCM

3/14/95, SP5CA/CW

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (58-2000)

DATE: March 16, 1953

FROM : SAC, WFO (58-261)

SUBJECT: LOUIS CAMPAGNA, was., ET AL
BRIBERY; PAROLE MATTERS;
FALSELY CLAIMING UNITED
STATES CITIZENSHIP

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 3/14/95 SPS c/aw

On March 11, 1953, the Office of DANIEL LYONS, Pardon Attorney, Department of Justice, advised the Bureau that LYONS had additional information in connection with the parole of LOUIS CAMPAGNA and his associates.

Accordingly therefore, Mr. DAN LYONS was interviewed in Room 2523, Department of Justice, by Special Agents WILLIAM T. FORSYTH and EDWARD J. HAYES on March 12, 1953. Mr. LYONS stated that he had been interviewed previously by agents of the Washington Field Office in connection with the cases involving FRANK BOEHM and the activities of PAUL DILLON, St. Louis, Missouri, attorney. LYONS stated that at the time of the interview he stated that he would recontact the Bureau should he develop any additional information concerning BOEHM or DILLON.

Mr. LYONS advised that he had no additional information concerning FRANK BOEHM, but he does recall the following information:

He stated that he was told by one ANN NUNNENKAMP, former Secretary to WEBBER WILSON, and also his Secretary when he was at the Board of Parole, that about the time LOUIS CAMPAGNA, PAUL DE LUCIA and CHARLES GIOE were granted paroles, a party was held at the Statler Hotel by PAUL DILLON. He informed that present at this party was PAUL DILLON and a female member of DILLON'S family, a brother of FRANK BOEHM, possibly GLEN BOEHM and his wife, MATT CONNELLY, President TRUMAN'S Secretary, and his wife, and Judge WEBBER WILSON, member of the Parole Board. He informed that he does not recall Miss NUNNENKAMP telling him what dining room at the Statler Hotel was used, but he does remember that the above mentioned party had their picture taken as a group by a photographer at the Statler Hotel.

EJH:DJM

2 - Chicago (AMSD)

1 - WFO 58-442

RECORDED-52

58-2000-2446

MAR 17

LYONS informed that when this information was given to him by Miss NUNNENKAMP he immediately referred the matter to TOM CLARK, then Attorney General. He stated CLARK made the following statement:

> "So what, it was a public meeting and had no significance."

LYONS informed that he felt that if an individual such as DILLON were attempting to exercise his influence, this party would have been the place for him to do it and as far as he was concerned it seemed highly improper.

With respect to the time this above mentioned party was held, LYONS stated, after considerable thought, that it probably was held prior to the granting of paroles for CAMPAGNA, DE LUCIA and GIOE.

He stated that he felt that Miss NUNNENKAMP would be able to better recall the approximate date of the party.

He informed that he has no knowledge of MATT CONNELLY exercising any influence or pressure on behalf of CAMPAGNA, DE LUCIA and GIOE. However, with respect to WEBBER WILSON* formerly of the Parole Board, he stated he knew that WILSON bought a plantation in Mississippi, and he has often wondered how WILSON has been able to pay off large payments on the plantation. He stated that he heard that about the time the paroles were granted to CAMPAGNA and his associates, PAUL DILLON went to Mississippi to visit WILSON on his plantation and recalls that WILSON was flattered by this visit.

In conclusion Mr. LYONS stated that he felt that an interview with Miss NUNNENKAMP, now residing somewhere in the middle west, would be most productive in establishing the full facts regarding the above mentioned dinner held at the Statler Hotel. He informed that all of this information in his possession was given to him by Miss NUNNENKAMP one or two days after he had become a member of the Board of Parole.

*Deceased

WFO 58-261

It was determined from the Office of Dr. GEORGE G. KILLINGER, Chairman of the Board of Parole, that Miss ANN NUNNENKAMP is now Mrs. HARRY W. HOLMLUND and resides at 710 Park Tower, 220 South Tacoma Avenue, Tacoma, Washington.

This information is being forwarded to the Office of Origin and no lead is being set out by this office to interview Miss NUNNENKAMP, this being left to the discretion of the Office of Origin. Furthermore, no efforts will be made by this office to ascertain whether a party was actually held at the Statler Hotel, as mentioned above, inasmuch as this is not deemed feasible due to the lapse of time.

RUC.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1
THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT CHICAGO FILE NO. EAC/MFH

REPORT MADE AT	DATE WHEN MADE	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE	REPORT MADE BY
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON		3/20/53	EUGENE A. CRICKENBERGER

TITLE	CHARACTER OF CASE
LOUIS CAMPAGNA, was., ET AL	BRIBERY; PAROLE MATTER; FALSELY CLAIMING UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP; PERJURY

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

Mrs. HARRY W. HOLMLUND interviewed and advised that PAUL DILLON and Judge T. WEBBER WILSON first met in 1943 or 1944, and believes they enjoyed a close friendship. HOLMLUND believes first meeting as the result of introduction through Vice President TRUMAN's office. Mrs. HOLMLUND stated she does not believe any influence exerted by DILLON or anyone else on Judge WILSON relative to subject's parole case. She considers Judge WILSON's honesty above reproach. She believed questioned dinner party held at either the Statler or Shoreham Hotel and was to celebrate the birthday of DILLON's daughter. Could furnish no information of value relative to this dinner party. She stated that Judge WILSON inherited plantation in Mississippi from his mother, and knew of no other source of income Judge WILSON had other than that which came from his plantation and his salary.

EXPEDITE PROCESSING

-- RUC --

3/14/95 SPSA/ow

APPROVED AND FORWARDED: R. D. Auerbach SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES

- 2447

RECORDED-16

INDEXED-16

MAR [illegible] 1953

21

- 107

COPIES OF THIS REPORT
(4) - Bureau (58-2000)
AIR MAIL SPECIAL DELIVERY
2 - Chicago (58-194)
1 - Seattle (58-94)

63 APR 2 1953

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 16-50955-1

SA 58-94

DETAILS: AT TACOMA, WASHINGTON

Mrs. HARRY W. HOLMLUND, who resides at the Park Tower Apartments, 220 South Tacoma Avenue, was interviewed on March 20, 1953, at the Tacoma Resident Agency by the reporting agent and SA JAMES A. GIRARD. Mrs. HOLMLUND is presently employed as secretary to Mr. RAMSDELL and Mr. DUNCAN, partners in the law firm of EISENHOWER, HUNTER, DUNCAN, and RAMSDELL, Tacoma, Washington.

Mrs. HOLMLUND informed that she was well aware of the investigations which have been conducted involving the subjects of instant case by the Federal Grand Jury at Chicago, Illinois. She went on to say that she would be willing to cooperate by furnishing any information of value which she might possess.

Mrs. HOLMLUND advised that prior to Judge T. WEBBER WILSON's resignation from the United States Board of Parole in September, 1949, she had been his secretary for a period of twelve years.

Concerning the relationship between Judge WILSON and PAUL DILLON, Mrs. HOLMLUND furnished the following information:

She stated that to the best of her knowledge, it was in 1943 or 1944 that PAUL DILLON and Judge WILSON first met. She was positive that it was this early due to the fact that ex-President TRUMAN was still vice president of the United States. She explained that on this occasion Judge WILSON called her into his office and informed her that a PAUL DILLON was due in for an appointment. According to Mrs. HOLMLUND, Judge WILSON stated that the appointment with DILLON was a result of a telephone call he had just received from the office of the vice president of the United States, and he remarked to the effect that DILLON was to be extended the utmost courtesy. Mrs. HOLMLUND stated that she is not aware of the individual in the vice president's office who had called Judge WILSON concerning DILLON on that day. Mrs. HOLMLUND continued by advising that after this first meeting between DILLON and Judge WILSON, DILLON paid regular visits to Judge WILSON's office and she estimated the frequency of these visits at a minimum of once every two months.

Mrs. HOLMLUND further stated that after these visits of DILLON became regular occurrences, one Mr. GLENN BROEHM began appearing with Mr. DILLON. On several of these occasions when DILLON and BROEHM came to Judge WILSON's office, she was requested by WILSON to pull the files on FRANK BROEHM, the brother of GLENN BROEHM. According to Mrs. HOLMLUND, FRANK BROEHM was a federal parolee and she assumed the discussions between BROEHM, DILLON, and Judge WILSON were concerning a pardon for FRANK BROEHM.

According to Mrs. HOLMLUND, as a result of these visits from DILLON, he and Judge WILSON became very well acquainted and she expressed the opinion that they were also socially associated.

Concerning her opinion of the personality of Judge WILSON, Mrs. HOLMLUND advised that from her association with him, he was an exceedingly friendly man and had an unusual ability to make many friends. She related that he was different from other attorneys in the United States Board of Parole Office in that he believed there was absolutely nothing wrong with being friends and associating with the attorneys of individuals applying for paroles and whom the United States had brought cases against. She stated that even when Judge WILSON would have to give a "no" answer to a person appearing in behalf of a defendant, WILSON would still become fast friends with this individual. She expressed the opinion that Judge WILSON wanted and enjoyed the friendship of an individual whom he came in contact with.

Relative to the dinner party which was given by PAUL DILLON in Washington, D. C., Mrs. HOLMLUND stated that she was uncertain but believed this dinner party was in approximately 1947. She also stated that it was her belief this dinner party was held at the Shoreham Hotel, although from her knowledge it could have been held at the Statler Hotel. She herself was not in attendance at this dinner party, and stated that the information she had concerning the party came from her employer, Judge WILSON. Concerning the purpose of this dinner party, Mrs. HOLMLUND advised that it was supposedly a dinner party in celebration of the birthday of a daughter of PAUL DILLON. Mrs. HOLMLUND believed that DILLON's daughter, whose name she is not familiar with, and her husband were visiting in Washington, D. C., at a time when PAUL DILLON was in this city and due to

her birthday also coming at this time, DILLON gave her this dinner party. She stated that other than Judge WILSON and PAUL DILLON being in attendance at this party, she also believed GLENN EROLHI and his wife, and MATT CONNELLY (ex-President TRUMAN's secretary) and his wife, were also in attendance. She informed that she was not absolutely positive who was in attendance at this dinner party, but believed that it was the above-named individuals.

Mrs. HOLMLUND related that she knew of absolutely no other reasons why this dinner party was given by PAUL DILLON, and she had no knowledge of the matters discussed at this party whatsoever.

Concerning Mrs. HOLMLUND's knowledge of any contacts Judge WILSON might have had with other government officials concerning instant parole case, Mrs. HOLMLUND advised she had no information regarding any of these contacts. Mrs. HOLMLUND explained that on occasions Judge WILSON would contact the Attorney General or his assistant in regard to complicated parole cases in order to obtain the opinion of the Department of Justice, but she did not believe that in the subjects' parole case he contacted any other government official at any time.

Mrs. HOLMLUND went on to say that to the best of her knowledge, there were absolutely no irregularities on the party of Judge WILSON regarding the subjects of this case and their paroles in 1947. She stated that she had no knowledge that PAUL DILLON or anyone else had paid monetary considerations for the purpose of getting these men paroles to Judge WILSON or any other government official.

Concerning the subjects' paroles, Mrs. HOLMLUND advised she recalled hearing Judge WILSON express an opinion on this case. She stated that it was to the effect that Judge WILSON's sole purpose for paroling these three men in 1947 was because GEORGE E. BROWN and WILLIAM BIOFF had also been released on parole and they were conspirators in the crime for which they were convicted as well as CALPAGNA, DeLUCIA, and GIOE. According to Mrs. HOLMLUND, BIOFF and BROWN had served approximately three years of their sentence at the time of their parole and Judge WILSON had remarked that the other three individuals were also eligible for parole as they had served over three years for their crime which was the same as BIOFF and BROWN.

In addition to the above, Mrs. HOLMLUND advised that she had no knowledge that PAUL DILLON was ever acquainted

with Attorney General TOM CLARK. She stated however that she knew PAUL DILLON was a good friend of ex-President TRUMAN as Judge WILSON had often remarked that whenever PAUL DILLON came to Washington, he could go to the White House any time he desired.

In regards to the plantation which Judge T. WEBBER WILSON owned in the state of Mississippi, Mrs. HOLMLUND stated that she was absolutely positive that this was not property purchased by WILSON, but was a plantation which he had inherited from his mother. She advised that it was her belief that upon Judge WILSON's death, this plantation in Cold Water, Mississippi, was to revert back to the only grandchild. Mrs. HOLMLUND believed that this grandchild was Mrs. ARCHIE STARNES who lives in Memphis, Tennessee.

Mrs. HOLMLUND stated that she knew of no other source of income had by Judge WILSON other than that which came from his plantation and his salary.

Mrs. HOLMLUND reiterated that in her belief, the character and honesty of Judge T. WEBBER WILSON were above reproach. She restated that she had absolutely no knowledge of any influence in the way of a bribe being exerted upon Judge WILSON for favorable consideration relative to the parole of the subjects of this case.

-- RUC --

SE 58-94

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

REFERENCE: Chicago air-tel to the Director dated 3/18/53.
Chicago teletype to the Director and Seattle dated 3/19/53.

FD-36

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

AIRTEL

Transmit the following ~~Teletype~~ message to:

FBI CHICAGO March 18, 1953

DIRECTOR, FBI (58-2000) (AM)

LOUIS CAMPAGNA, WAS.: CHARLES GIOE, WAS.: AND PAUL DE LUCIA, WAS., BRIBERY, PAROLE MATTER, FCUSC AND PERJURY. RELET MARCH SIXTEEN IAST FROM WASHINGTON FIELD TO THE BUREAU IN CHICAGO. RELEY SETS FORTH INFORMATION FURNISHED BY MR. DANIEL LYONS, PARDON ATTORNEY, DEPT. OF JUSTICE, CONCERNING A PARTY AT THE STATLER HOTEL, WASHINGTON, D.C., GIVEN BY ST. LOUIS ATTORNEY PAUL DILLON AND ATTENDED BY FORMER PAROLE JUDGE T. WEBBER WILSON. A COPY OF RELET IS BEING ENCLOSED TO SEATTLE WITH THE REQUEST THAT MRS. HARRY W. HOLMLUND, FORMER SECRETARY OF PAROLE JUDGE WILSON BE INTERVIEWED CONCERNING INFORMATION CONTAINED IN RELET, UACB. FOR INFO OF SEATTLE, CAPTIONED SUBJECTS, ALONG WITH OTHERS WERE CONVICTED IN U.S.D.C. NY. IN FORTYTHREE AND ANTI RACKETEERING CASE INVOLVING GEORGE E. BROWN AND WM. BIOFF. CAPTIONED SUBJECTS SENTENCED TO TEN YRS. AND FINES OF TEN THOUSAND DOLLARS EACH AND BEGAN SERVING TIME MARCH, FORTYFOUR AT USP ATLANTA. THEY WERE TRANSFERRED TO USP LEAVENWORTH IN JULY, FORTYFIVE AND RECEIVED PAROLE AUG. THIRTEEN FORTYSEVEN, HAVING SERVED SLIGHTLY MORE THAN MINIMUM TIME. PRIOR TO PAROLE SUBJECTS INTERVIEWED BY CHAIRMAN OF PAROLE BOARD T. WEBBER WILSON AND PAROLES GRANTED BY THREE MEMBER PAROLE BOARD CONSISTING OF WILSON, FRED S. ROGERS, AND B. J. MONKEYWISZ. CAPTIONED SUBJECTS WERE MEMBERS OF NOTORIOUS CAPONE MOB AND

JRP:mkm
58-194
cc: 2-Seattle (Enc.)
1-Washington Field (58-261) (info)

RECORDED-144 58-2000-2448

Approved: _____ Special Agent in Charge

Sent _____ M Per

63 APR 7 1953

FD-36

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

AIRTEL

Transmit the following ~~Teletype~~ XXXXXX message to:

PAGE THREE

OBTAIN ALL INFORMATION SHE HAS CONCERNING A PLANTATION REPORTEDLY PURCHASED BY JUDGE WILSON, DATE OF SUCH PURCHASE, COST AND PAYMENTS THEREON. PURPOSE OF INTERVIEW IS TO DETERMINE IF INFO SHE HAS WOULD JUSTIFY FURTHER INVESTIGATION OF INFORMATION SET FORTH IN RELET. SEATTLE EXPEDITE AND SUREP PROMPTLY.

MALONE

Approved:_____ Sent_____M Per_____

Special Agent in Charge

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : DIRECTOR, FBI (58-2000) DATE: March 13, 1953

FROM : SAC, CHICAGO (58-194)

SUBJECT: LOUIS CAMPAGNA, was. et al
BRIBERY; PAROLE MATTER;
FCUSC; PERJURY

Rebuairtels 2/27, and 3/5/53.

In accordance with reairtels, there is submitted herewith a prosecutive summary report of SA JOHN R. PHILIPS, Jr., Chicago, dated March 13, 1953.

Attention is directed to Section B of this report relating to possible FCUSC or perjury violations by subject GIOE in connection with his citizenship status. The Chicago Office has requested New York and Omaha to locate possible life insurance records as to the GIOE family history, as well as handwriting specimens of ONOFRIO GIOE, subject's father, for comparison with signatures and writing on birth records, affidavits and first citizenship papers, to determine if persons other than subject's father signed any of the birth records, as well as to positively identify the ONOFRIO GIOE in the first citizenship papers as subject's father. Chicago has obtained photographic or photostatic copies of all known records relating to birth of ANTHONY and CHARLES GIOE and of the citizenship application of ONOFRIO, and these documents are being submitted by separate letter to the FBI Laboratory with known specimens of handwriting of subject GIOE and his brother ANTHONY, for appropriate comparisons.

New York was also requested to check Board of Education records as to subject GIOE for possible attendance in public schools in New York City.

Chicago is continuing attempts to locate and interview JOHN E. DRAGONETTI and Dr. ANTHONY C. FORMUSA.

As instructed by the Bureau, investigation remaining will be completed as soon as possible and a supplemental summary report will be submitted covering such work.

JRP:DK
Encl.

INDEXED - 56

2449

AIR MAIL

3/14/95 SPS a/Cr

DIRECTOR, FBI

RE: LOUIS CAMPAGNA, was. et al
BRIBERY; PAROLE MATTER;
FCUSC; PERJURY

Also in connection with citizenship status of subject GIOE, United States Attorney OTTO KERNER, Jr., advised on March 9, 1953 that a Federal Grand Jury hearing was held on [redacted] According to Mr. KERNER, the Grand Jury was called to [redacted]

b3

A review of this transcript disclosed that [redacted] testified before the Grand Jury on [redacted] It was noted that Mr. KERNER [redacted]

The grand jury transcript disclosed [redacted]

Attention of the Bureau is also directed to tne fact that some pertinent information received from confidential

DIRECTOR, FBI RE: LOUIS CAMPAGNA, was. et al
BRIBERY; PAROLE MATTER;
FCUEC; PERJURY

informants has not been included in the enclosed summary report. Briefly, these data consist of tne following:

1. [redacted] of SA JOHN R. PHILIPS, Jr., Chicago, [redacted] as to [redacted] b7D in report of SA MARIO GREGORIO, Chicago, [redacted]

2. [redacted] who furnished information in report of SA JOHN R. PHILIPS, Chicago, [redacted] concerning [redacted]

3. [redacted] who furnished information in report of SA RAYMOND F. BERNEKING, Springfield, [redacted]

4. [redacted] who furnished information in report of SA JOHN W. LILL, Mobile, [redacted]

Attention of the Bureau is further directed to the fact that the enclosed summary report, under the last section relating to possible bribery, sets forth some of allegations received, in which the names of former Attorney General TOM CLARK and other government officials are mentioned.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 3

THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT CHICAGO FILE NO.

REPORT MADE AT:	DATE WHEN MADE:	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE:	REPORT MADE BY:
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS	3/13/53	3/2-7,9-11/53	JOHN H. PHILIPS, Jr.

TITLE:
LOUIS CAMPAGNA, was. Louis Compagna, Louie Cook, Louie Carmini, Louis Compagne, Lugi Compagne, Louis Campagnia, Morino C. Cook, "Lefty Louie" Compagna, "Little New York" Compagna; CHARLES GIOE, was. Caarles V. Gioe, Charles James Joye, Charlie Joy, Charles Veltre, Ciro Giue, "Cherrynose"; PAUL DeLUCIA, was. Paul Ricca, Paul DeLucca, Paul Villa, Paul Viela, Paul Salvi, Paolo Maglio, Paul Maglio, Paul Ricci, "Paul the Waiter"

CHARACTER OF CASE:
BRIBERY; PAROLE MATTER; FALSELY CLAIMING CITIZENSHIP; PERJURY

SUMMARY REPORT

SUMMARY REPORT

BACKGROUND AND PREDICATION

The first portion of this report is being devoted to a brief summary of events and circumstances surrounding investigation conducted in this matter. The following information from the files of the U. S. Board of Parole, Bureau of Prisons, Washington, D. C., sets forth a brief history of the prosecution, conviction, incarceration and paroles of subjects listed in the title of this case. Captioned subjects, along with GEORGE BROWN, WILLIAM DIOFF, PHILIP D'ANDREA (now deceased) and JOHN ROSELLI, were convicted on December 22, and 23, 1943 during a jury trial in U. S. District Court, Southern District of New York, for conspiracy to interfere with trade and commerce by extorting money from various motion picture producers in violation of the Anti-Racketeering Act, Section 420A, Title 18, United States Code. On December 31, 1943, as a result of this conviction, each of the three captioned subjects were sentenced to ten years imprisonment and fines of $10,000 each and they were

DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES

APPROVED AND FORWARDED:	SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE	RECORDED AND INDEXED:
John J. Mc...		58 2000 2450
COPIES OF THIS REPORT FURNISHED TO:	BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION	CHECKED OFF:
4 Bureau (58-2000)(AM) 4 Chicago (58-174)	DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	JACKETED:
3/14/95 SPSA/JW	ROUTED TO: FILE	

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 7—1983

	Page Number
Background and Introduction.	1
Parole Violation Charge to All Three Subjects.	
Conditions of Parole	5
Possible Parole Violation Charge to Subject Lewis . .	7
Date Retained to Represent Attorney. . . .	8
Witnesses	
[illegible]	10
[illegible]	11
[illegible]	12
Identification Record of Subject Lewis	13
Second Possible Parole Violation Charge to Subject Lewis	15
Witnesses	
[illegible]	15
[illegible]	16
[illegible]	16
Possible Parole Violation Charge to Subject Lewis	17
Witnesses	
[illegible]	18
Special Agent [illegible]	
[illegible]	18
Special Agent [illegible]	
[illegible]	19
[illegible]	19
Special Agent [illegible]	20
Date Returned to United States Attorney. . . .	20
Identification Record of Subject Lewis . .	21
Possible Parole Violation Charge to Subject [illegible]	23
Identification Record of Subject [illegible] . .	23
Formally Claiming Misleading and Perjury as to Subject [illegible]	
Testimony of Subject [illegible] Before Grand Jury, Boston, September 9, 1950.	24
Date Returned to United States Attorney. . . .	25
Preliminary Prosecutive Action.	26
Witnesses	
Special Agent [illegible]	27
[illegible]	27
Special Agent [illegible]	28
[illegible]	29
[illegible]	32
[illegible]	33
[illegible]	34
[illegible]	34
[illegible]	35

CR 5--194

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
Allegations of bribery, influence or misconduct relative to Parole of all above subjects	
Allegation of [illegible]	26
Allegation of [illegible]	27
Allegation of [illegible]	31
Allegation of [illegible]	37
Allegation of [illegible]	38
Allegation of [illegible]	38
Possible witnesses	
[illegible]	39
[illegible]	40
[illegible]	40
[illegible]	40
Interview with [illegible]	41
Interview with [illegible]	41

subsequently incarcerated at U. S. Penitentiary, Atlanta, Georgia. All three subjects were eligible for parole as of July 27, 1947 and for conditional release as of November 23, 1950, and their full prison terms expire March 7, 1954.

All three subjects were subsequently transferred from the U. S. Penitentiary at Atlanta, Georgia to the U. S. Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, the dates of transfer for subjects GIOE and DeLUCIA being shown as July 26, 1945 while transfer of CAMPAGNA was shown to be July 27, 1945. During July 25-29, 1947, Mr. T. WEBBER WILSON (now deceased) Chairman of the U. S. Board of Parole, held hearings for the subjects of this case at the U. S. Penitentiary, Leavenworth, and thereafter consideration of the subjects' cases was given by the full Parole Board consisting of Messrs. WILSON, FRED S. ROGERS, and B. J. MONKIEWICZ, sitting at Washington, D. C. On the basis of such consideration by the Parole Board, parole was granted to all three subjects effective August 13, 1947 and the subjects were released from U. S. Penitentiary, Leavenworth, on that date and they reported for parole supervision at the U. S. Probation Office, Chicago, Illinois, on August 14, 1947. The period of parole supervision as to all three subjects will expire March 7, 1954.

Mail fraud indictments, returned by a Federal Grand Jury, Southern District of New York, against all three subjects at the time of their original prosecution for anti-racketeering were outstanding during the early years of the subjects' incarceration and such indictments were considered to be an obstacle to parole for subjects of this case. Dismissal of these mail fraud indictments was accomplished on May 6, 1947 on a motion by the Office of the United States Attorney, New York. Counsel for the subjects in obtaining dismissal of these indictments was one MAURY HUGHES, a Dallas, Texas attorney, who has maintained that he received a fee of $15,000 for his services in obtaining such dismissals and that such fee was paid to him be a person identified only as "MIKE RYAN", who has never been positively identified.

On the basis of allegations that possible bribery, influence or graft had been used to procure paroles for these subjects,

a Federal Grand Jury at Chicago heard testimony and evidence by witnesses in 1947 and 1948 concerning this matter. On July 21, 1948 parole violator warrants were issued for all three subjects by the U. S. Board of Parole, Washington, D. C. Violations charged to CAMPAGNA as a basis for such warrant included the following:

1. Failure to reveal source of monies used in settlement of Internal Revenue tax when questioned before a legally constituted body.

2. Failure to conduct himself honorably.

3. Failure to truthfully disclose associates on flight from Kansas City to Chicago following release from Leavenworth Penitentiary.

Violations charged to subject GIOE included the following:

1. Change of employment without permission.

2. Failure to truthfully disclose associates on flight from Kansas City to Chicago following release from Leavenworth Penitentiary.

3. Association with persons of bad reputation in Totebrush Inc.

4. Failure to conduct himself honorably.

5. Failure to give a proper accounting of income and expenditures.

Violations charged to subject DeLUCIA included the following:

1. Failure to make full and truthful written reports to the Supervisor of Parole.

2. Untruthful statements for expenditures, December, 1947-January, 1948.

3. Association with persons of bad reputation.

4. Failure to conduct himself honorably.

5. Failure to reveal source of monies used in settlement of Internal Revenue tax when questioned before a legally constituted body.

Violations attributed to DeLUCIA were later revised to specify that failure to report expenditures for January, 1948 related to expenses of a wedding reception for DeLUCIA's daughter, held in January, 1946.

All three subjects were arrested at Chicago, Illinois, on the basis of these parole violator warrants, on July 23, 1948 and subjects CAMPAGNA and GIOE were returned to the U. S. Penitentiary, Atlanta, as of July 24, 1948. Subject DeLUCIA was released on bond on the basis of a writ of habeas corpus filed in U. S. District Court, Chicago, and subject DeLUCIA was never returned to prison on the basis of a parole violator warrant. Subsequently numerous hearings and court proceedings were held in U. S. District Court, Chicago, and Atlanta, Georgia, terminating in court decisions in favor of the subjects with the result that all three subjects were eventually restored to their parole status. With respect to court action relating to subject DeLUCIA, a letter dated October 21, 1952 to Mr. CHARLES B. MURRAY, Assistant Attorney General, from Chairman GEORGE G. KILLINGER, U. S. Board of Parole, states, "This case has been carefully reviewed by a majority of the Board and we agree that we do not have evidence sufficient to revoke parole should a habeas corpus proceeding be forthcoming. The only new allegations in this particular case as compared to the Campagna-Gioe cases is the expenditures incurred in connection with DeLucia's daughter's wedding, and we have always felt that this evidence was not too well founded. We do not therefore feel that an appeal should be taken from Judge Igoe's order in this case."

The order of Judge IGOE mentioned immediately above is that of U. S. District Judge MICHAEL L. IGOE, U. S. District

Court, Chicago, dated September 9, 1952, under which subject DeLUCIA was restored to parole status.

No appeal was taken by the government from Judge IGOE's ruling within the 60-day statutory period following September 9, 1952, the date of Judge IGOE's decision.

On or about December 1, 1952 Attorney General JAMES McGRANERY requested the Bureau to conduct investigation of this matter to determine if captioned subjects had complied with the terms and conditions of their parole since their release on August 13, 1947.

Investigation has been conducted in line with this request of the Attorney General and data included in this report includes information deemed to be of evidentiary value on possible parole violations by subjects GIOE and DeLUCIA as well as some information relating to the way in which the subject procured their paroles.

For the purposes of this report, this matter is being set out hereinafter in three sections, namely a section for possible parole violations as to each of the three subjects; a section for possible violation of falsely claiming U.S. citizenship and perjury by subject CHARLES GIOE; and a section for data or allegations of bribery and influence used in procuring paroles for these subjects.

A. PAROLE VIOLATIONS AS TO ALL THREE SUBJECTS

There is set out hereunder the conditions of parole as set forth on the certificates of parole granted to the subjects of this case. Such certificates were signed by each of the subjects, signifying that each would abide by the conditions listed thereon.

These certificates of parole are on a printed form designated as "Parole Form No. 17" and bearing the caption "Statement of the Conditions Under Which This Parole is Granted". These forms bear the following conditions to be agreed to by the prisoner:

"This Certificate of Parole shall not become operative until the following conditions are agreed to by the prisoner:

1. That I will report immediately to my Parole Advisor, and then immediately report to the Supervisor of Parole, Department of Justice, Washington, D. C., through the United States Probation Officer, the fact that I have reported to my Parole Advisor on the Arrival Notice provided for that purpose.

Advisor _____

Officer Charles W. Fisher, USPO,
Chicago 4, Illinois

2. That I will remain within the limits fixed in the Certificate of Parole. If I have good cause to leave these limits I will obtain written permission from the Probation Officer and the approval of the United States Board of Parole before doing so.

3. That I will, between the first and third days of each month, until my final release, and also on the final day of my parole, make a full and truthful written report to the Supervisor of Parole, Department of Justice, Washington, D. C., upon the form provided for that purpose, and that I will submit each such report to my Parole Advisor for certification and mail or deliver same to the United States Probation Officer.

4. That I will not drink intoxicating liquors, use narcotic drugs, or frequent places where either is sold, dispensed, or used unlawfully.

5. That I will not associate with persons of bad reputation.

6. That I will in all respects conduct myself honorably, work diligently at a lawful occupation, and support my dependents, if any, to the best of my ability.

7. That I will promptly and truthfully answer all inquiries directed to me by the United States Board of Parole, by my Parole Advisor, or by the United States Probation Officer.

8. That I will live and remain at liberty without violating the law.

9. That I will not enter into marriage unless and until written permission therefor has been given by the United States Probation Officer.

10. If at any time it becomes necessary to communicate with my Parole Advisor or Probation Officer for any purpose and they are not accessible, I will direct my communication to the Supervisor of Parole, Department of Justice, Washington, D. C.

11. I agree to live and work at the places stated in my parole plan and will not change until after I have permission to do so from my Probation Officer. In emergencies I will notify my Probation Officer at once.

To all of which conditions I do solemnly promise and agree."

I. Possible Parole Violation
By Subject CHARLES GIOE

After his release on parole effective August 13, 1947 subject GIOE submitted to the U. S. Parole and Probation Office, Chicago, monthly reports as prescribed by regulations governing such parolees. These reports, submitted on a printed form designated as "Parole Form No. 24" provided that the parolee furnish information as to his residence address, his employer and employer's address, nature of parolee's employment, whether or not arrested and a section pertaining to financial data.

This financial section of the parole report provides for a column showing money received from employment, other income to be specified, money borrowed, and the source of any relief. Another column is provided for money spent for rent, payment on debts, and other expenditures.

Such reports are required to be submitted in duplicate and the original is forwarded to the U. S. Board of Parole, Washington, D. C., and the copy is retained by the U. S. Probation Office, Chicago.

In his monthly parole reports for January and February, 1949 subject GIOE reported that he was employed at Consolidated Wire Company, Chicago, Illinois, and he showed his income during those two months as earnings from such employment. Evidence and testimony obtained during investigation can show that GIOE was actually employed by and paid by Totebrush, Inc., Chicago, during those two months.

Both the parole reports for January and February, 1949 were received by the U. S. Probation Office, Chicago, on April 6, 1949.

a. Other Data Helpful to the United States Attorney

As noted in the first section of this report, a parole violator warrant was issued by the U. S. Board of Parole on July 21, 1948 on the basis of violations by subject GIOE including one of failure to report changes in employment and association with persons of bad reputation in Totebrush, Inc.,

Mr. EDWARD HLADIS, law clerk, for U. S. District Judge J. SAM PERRY, Chicago, has advised that he was formerly U. S. Probation Officer at Chicago and supervised the parole of subject GIOE during the early years following GIOE's release from prison. He stated that some time prior to July of 1948 subject GIOE had requested permission to accept employment in Totebrush and that a letter had been written to the U. S. Board of Parole in Washington, for an opinion as to whether such permission could be

granted. Shortly after this letter was written the parole violator warrant was issued by the Parole Board in Washington, and subject GIOE was returned to U. S. Penitentiary, Atlanta. According to Mr. HLADIS, no reply was ever received to the request for an opinion as to employment by GIOE at Totebrush, Inc., hence Mr. HLADIS never knew the attitude of the Parole Board toward such employment.

Mr. BEN MEEKER, Chief, U. S. Probation Officer, Chicago, advised that the parole reports of subject GIOE for the months of January and February, 1949 were received at his office on April 6, 1949 and he explained that the delay in receiving these reports was caused by the fact that the parole status of subjects GIOE and CAMPAGNA was undecided for a period of several months following the release of those subjects from U. S. Penitentiary, Atlanta, on the basis of habeas corpus proceedings. Those two subjects were released in December, 1948 and the U. S. Board of Parole, Washington, was undecided as to whether or not subjects GIOE and CAMPAGNA were actually to be under the supervision of the Chicago Probation Office during the time the habeas corpus proceedings were still pending in U. S. District Court, Atlanta, Georgia. According to Mr. MEEKER, the Board of Parole decided on or about April 1, 1949 that those two subjects should be under parole supervision and instructed that those subjects submit their monthly reports for the period of time subsequent to their release from prison in December, 1948. When such instructions were conveyed to subjects GIOE and CAMPAGNA they then submitted their reports for those months. This information is being set forth since it appears that there might be some doubt as to whether or not subject GIOE was actually under the supervision of the Chicago Probation Office during the months of January and February, 1949.

There has been no prosecutive action as a result of this current investigation and subject GIOE is not known to be incarcerated or under bond at the present time.

b. Names and Addresses of Witnesses, Their Testimony and Documentary Evidence in their Possession

CG 58-194

1. Messrs. BEN MEEKER,
JEROME J. CONDON, U.S.
Probation Office,
Chicago, Illinois

Mr. MEEKER is the Chief U. S. Probation Officer, Chicago, and Mr. CONDON is the U. S. Probation Officer presently supervising parolee CHARLES GIOE. Mr. MEEKER can produce his file containing the monthly reports for January and February, 1949 submitted by subject GIOE, showing his place of employment and source of income as Consolidated Wire Company, Chicago. This file contains no information concerning any change of employment or notification that the subject intended to seek employment or to work for Totebrush, Inc.

The Probation Office file contains a letter dated February 10, 1948 in which subject GIOE advised that he planned to become employed by Gem Die and Mold Company, Chicago, Illinois. The file contains information concerning stockholders of Gem Die and Mold Company as of January 1, 1948 and sets forth the following information concerning some of such stockholders.

PHILIP MESI owning approximately one-third of the stock, was arrested August 6, 1942 for assault to kill, such charge being nolle prossed October 21, 1942. MESI was also shown to have been arrested October 22, 1934 on a charge of counterfeiting and was dismissed without prosecution.

SAM MESI, brother of PHILIP MESI, owning approximately one-twentieth of the stock of Gem Die and Mold Company, was shown to have been arrested on March 19, 1943 on a charge of gambling and was discharged.

MARTIN OSTRUM, owning approximately one-fifteenth of the stock of Gem Die and Mold Company, was shown to have been arrested December 16, 1944 for assault and battery and was discharged without prosecution on January 16, 1946.

2. PHILIP J. MESI, president, Totebrusn, Inc., 2411 North Clybourne Avenue, Cnicago, Illinois, telephone LIncoln 9-7778, residence 7647 West Armitage Street, Cnicago, Illinois, telephone GLadstone 3-4309

MESI can testify that he first became associated with the Gem Die and Mold Company, Chicago, sometime during 1946, when he purchased stock in that company while he was still serving in the U. S. Army. Gem Die and Mold Company was subsequently re-organized as Totebrush, Inc., sometime during 1947. Both of these companies were under the active management of one TED STACEY until his death in October, 1949, at which time MESI assumed active management.

[redacted]

[redacted]

b7D

[redacted]

[redacted]

b7D

response to a subpoena duces tecum.

3. PAUL MANN, partner
Consolidated Wire Company
of 1635 South Clinton Street,
Chicago, Illinois, residence
Park Dearborn Hotel, 1260
North Dearborn Street,
Chicago, Illinois

MANN can testify that he has been acquainted with subject GIOE for a number of years, having become acquainted with him socially, prior to his conviction and incarceration in 1943. MANN got to know subject GIOE at a time when they both resided at 4300 Marine Drive, Chicago. MANN can testify that subject GIOE commenced his employment at Consolidated Wire Company on September 16, 1947 and that such employment was terminated on July 19, 1948, at which time GIOE's parole was revoked and he was returned to the penitentiary.

MANN can testify that GIOE did not return to his employment at Consolidated after his release from prison and that MANN does not know the reason why he did not resume such employment. MANN will testify that he gave subject GIOE employment upon his release from the penitentiary in 1947

in order to assist him in making a social adjustment and that he (MANN) believes that such adjustment has been successfully made.

In response to a subpoena duces tecum, [redacted]

[redacted] b7D

b. Identification Record of Subject CHARLES GIOE, FBI No. 3339373

Contributor of Fingerprints	Name and No.	Arrested or Received	Charge	Disposition
PD, Des Moines, Iowa	Charles Veltre #10774	11/9/35	Inv.	11/10/35 rel.
USM, Chgo, Ill.	Charles Gioe #6900	3/25/43	Extortion, postal fraud	
USM, NY, NY	Charles Gioe #---	6/8/43	mail fraud	12/22/43, sent. 10 yrs.-$10,000 fine. 5/6/47, nolle prosequi.

Contributor of Fingerprints	Name and No.	Arrested or Received	Charge	Disposition
FDH, NYC, NY	Charles Gioe #41921	2/15/44	Anti-Racketeering Act-consp.	10 yrs; 3/25/44 disc. to USP, Atlanta
USP, Atlanta, Ga.	Charles Gioe #63774	2/6/44	Consp. to interfere with trade and comm. by violence, threat and Coercion	10 yrs.
USP, Leavenworth Kans.	Charles Gioe #62121	8/8/45 In trans-from USP, Atlanta, Ga.	Consp. to interfere with trade and comm. by violence, threats and Coercion	10 yrs. Appealed Elected to serve 8/15/47, paroled.
USM, Chgo, Ill.	Charles Gioe #15761	7/23/48	Cond'l. Rel. Vio.	Pending, del. to USP, Atlanta, Ga.
US Pen., Atlanta, Ga.	Charles Gioe #63774 A	7/24/48	P.V.-Conspiracy to interfere with trade and comm. by violence, threats and Coercion	2398 das. 12/20/48, disch. by ord. of crt.
PD, Chgo, Ill.	Charles Gioe #D-78267	10/4/50	GP	

II. Second Possible Parole Violation by Subject CHARLES GIOE

Another possible violation by subject GIOE might be developed through the failure of that subject to report to his parole

supervisor, a change of residence address in the summer of 1950.

Investigation discloses that during the months of July through December, 1950, subject GIOE and his wife, ALBERTA, leased and resided at least part of the time at a summer home at Crystal Lake, Illinois, a summer resort located in McHenry County, Illinois, about 45 miles northwest of Chicago. GIOE's parole reports for those months and the months preceding and following, contained no information of such a change of address or expenditure for the rental of this summer home.

In his reports for the months of July, August, and September, 1950, he showed his address as 200 East Chestnut Street, Chicago, Illinois, and under the financial section of these reports he showed rent being paid by his wife in the amount of $175 each month, such information being the same as the months preceeding and following the summer months of 1950.

a. Names and addresses of Witnesses

1. WILLIAM FELDKAMP, employed at All American Laundry, 925 South California Street, Chicago, Illinois, residence Apartment 112, Grassmere Hotel, 4621 North Sheridan Road, telephone LOngbeach 1-1600

FELDKAMP can testify that he was married in October, 1949 to GLORIA GOIE, step-daughter of subject CHARLES GIOE, and was in fairly frequent contact with subject GIOE during the period of October, 1949 to about March, 1951, when he and his wife, GLORIA, were separated.

FELDKAMP can testify that during the summer of 1950 subject GIOE leased or rented a summer home at Crystal Lake, Illinois, and during this summer, his wife, GLORIA, stayed at this summer home and he, FELDKAMP, visited her on week-ends. FELDKAMP can testify that on one weekend during that period, subject GIOE was at the summer home and was visited

by a Mr. and Mrs. PAT MANNING. FELDKAMP believes that MANNING is associated with the Bonemien Club Brewery, Chicago, Illinois.

2. Messrs. BEN MEEKER, and JEROME J. CONDON, U.S. Probation Office, Chicago, Illinois

Mr. MEEKER is the Chief U. S. Probation Officer, Chicago, and Mr. CONDON is the U. S. Probation Officer presently supervising the parole of subject GIOE. Mr. MEEKER can produce the files of his office relating to subject GIOE, which contains the monthly parole reports submitted by GIOE including those of July, August, and September, 1950, which reflect this subject's residence address as 200 East Chestnut Street, Chicago. This residence address is the same as that shown on parole reports both prior and after the summer of 1950, and none of the parole reports of subject GIOE contain any information concerning his plan to reside part of the time outside the city of Chicago.

Mr. CONDON can testify that his file contains no information that subject GIOE changed his place of residence at any time during the year 1950.

3. [redacted]

[redacted] in response to a subpoena duces tecum, can produce original documents in his possession, including the following: b7D

[redacted]

[redacted]

b7D

Identification Record for subject GIOE has been previously set forth in this report.

III. Possible Parole Violation by Subject PAUL DeLUCIA

Subject DeLUCIA submitted monthly parole reports to the U. S. Probation Office, Chicago, Illinois, for the months of September, through December, 1947, on the prescribed report form provided by the U. S. Probation Office. These report forms provide spaces for furnishing information as to income and expenditures, however, the reports submitted by subject DeLUCIA for that part of 1947 after his release from prison, do not reflect any income from his farm. Uncertified copies of federal income tax returns filed by subject DeLUCIA for the year 1947 reflect that he reported for tax purposes an amount of $12,655.44 as income from his farm.

Evidence and testimony set forth hereinafter in this report will show that DeLUCIA stated during interviews that while he was incarcerated, his farm had been rented to one FRANCIS CURRY, such rental to be received by DeLUCIA from CURRY to be an amount equal to the regular mortgage and tax payments on the DeLUCIA farm. The rental agreement with CURRY provided that CURRY could, after making such mortgage and tax payments retain any and all profits remaining from the operation of the farm.

FRANCIS CURRY, when interviewed, furnished substantially the same information concerning the rental agreement between him and DeLUCIA.

DeLUCIA's period of parole expires on March 7, 1954, and information as to previous prosecutive action against subject's DeLUCIA has been set forth briefly in the first part of this report.

a. Names and Addresses of Witnesses

1. Mr. BEN MEEKER, Chief U. S. Probation Officer, Chicago, Illinois

Mr. MEEKER can produce the files of his office relating to subject DeLUCIA containing the monthly parole reports submitted by that subject for the months of September through December, 1947. These parole reports provide a section for financial information such as income and expenditures and the reports furnished by subject DeLUCIA for those months do not reflect any income from the operation of his farm.

2. Special Agents MARIO GREGORIO and FRANCIS W. MATTHYS, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Chicago Illinois

Special Agents GREGORIO and MATTHYS interviewed subject DeLUCIA on November 29, 1952 and can testify to the following information furnished by DeLUCIA during such interview.

DeLUCIA stated he purchased a farm in Kendall County, Illinois, in 1941 for a purchase price of $132,000. He had a mortgage on this farm and during the period of his confinement in prison, DeLUCIA rented the farm to FRANCIS CURRY of Joliet, Illinois. The agreement between DeLUCIA and CURRY on the rental of the farm provided that CURRY would pay an amount equal to the regular mortgage and tax payments and that any profits over and above such amounts would be retained by CURRY.

DeLUCIA also stated that during the time CURRY rented the farm, purchases of equipment by CURRY resulted in a debt of $31,000 owed by DeLUCIA to CURRY and that such debt is still unsettled because he has been advised by his parole officer that he should not have any contacts with CURRY, because of CURRY's criminal background.

3. Special Agents MARIO GREGORIO and LEO B. FEARY, Federal Bureau of Investigation, Chicago, Illinois

Special Agents MARIO GREGORIO and LEO B. FEARY interviewed FRANCIS J. CURRY, Joliet, Illinois, on December 15, 1952 and can testify to the following information furnished by CURRY during such interview.

CURRY stated that his only business transactions with subject DeLUCIA involved the operation of DeLUCIA's farm located in Kendall County. CURRY stated that while DeLUCIA was in prison, the farm was rented by CURRY for a rental equal to the amount of mortgage payments and other incidental payments on the farm. CURRY stated that since DeLUCIA's release from prison he has had his auditors in contact with an auditor representing DeLUCIA in an attempt to arrange a settlement for money due to CURRY in an amount of approximately $31,000. CURRY stated that he has not personally discussed this matter with DeLUCIA since he has been advised not to contact DeLUCIA during the period of DeLUCIA's parole.

4. [redacted]

[redacted] can produce under subpoena duces tecum. [redacted] b7D

[redacted]

[redacted]

b7D

5. Special Agent EDWARD R. SECORE,
Federal Bureau of Investigation,
Chicago, Illinois

Special Agent SECORE made an examination of the parole reports submitted by subject DeLUCIA including those for the months of September through December, 1947, along with a comparison of uncertified copies of tax returns of subject DeLUCIA.

Special Agent SECORE can testify that the parole reports of subject DeLUCIA for 1947 reflected no farm income whereas the federal income tax return of DeLUCIA for 1947 reflected an amount of $12,655.44 reported by DeLUCIA as income from his farm.

b. Other Data Helpful to the
United States Attorney

While it appears that subject DeLUCIA failed to report amounts in excess of $12,000 farm income on his parole reports for 1947, it should be noted that a comparison of his parole reports for subsequent years 1948 through 1950, disclosed that he reported over $13,000 more in farm income on his parole reports than was shown on uncertified copies of his tax returns for those years.

A tabulation of income reported for tax purposes as compared with farm income on his parole reports is set forth as follows:

Year	Parole Report	Tax Returns	Difference
1948	$29,895.03	$25,230.00	$4,665.03
1949	68,499.79	60,306.18	8,193.61
1950	40,848.80	40,652.38	196.42
Total Excess of Parole Reports over Tax Returns			$13,055.06

Because the parole reports do not show sufficient detail as to the nature of income and expenditures, it is not possible to determine the basis on which such income is reported or to reconcile the financial data with federal tax returns. It is possible that the difference in farm income noted above might be explained because of a difference in methods of computing such income for tax purposes as compared with parole reports.

Previous prosecutive action against subject DeLUCIA has been previously summarized in the first section of this report and this subject's identification record is set forth as follows, under FBI No. 832514:

Contributor of Fingerprints	Name and No.	Arrested or Received	Charge	Disposition
USM, Chgo, Ill.	Paul DeLucia #6880	3/24/43	Extortion & postal fraud	
USM, Chgo, Ill.	Paul DeLucia #6988	4/7/43	Extortion	Pending
USM, NYC, NY	Paul DeLucia #---	6/6/45	Mail fraud	Pending, 5/6/47, nolle prosequi
Federal Detention Hdq., NYC, NY	Paul DeLucia #41920	2/15/44	Anti-racketeering Act	10 yrs, 3/25/44 disch. USP, Atlanta

CG 58-194

Contributor of Fingerprints	Name and No.	Arrested or Received	Charge	Disposition
USP, Atlanta, Ga.	Paul DeLucia #63776	4/4/44	Conspiracy to interfere with trade & commerce by coercion, threats, & violence	10 yrs.
USP, Leavenworth, Kans.	Paul DeLucia #62118	8/8/45 in transfer from USP, Atlanta, Ga.	Conspiracy to interfere with trade & commerce by violence threats and coercion	10 yrs, 8/13/47, paroled. Violation date-divers occasions since release Warrant Issued 11/22/50
USM, Chgo, Ill.	Paul DeLucia #15619	6/16/48	not given	Pending
PD, Chgo, Ill.	Paul Viela #C-4383	inquiry 9/7/48		
PD, Chgo, Ill.	Paul DeLucia #D-76267	10/3/50	General Principles	
USM, Chgo, Ill.	Paul DeLucia #18278	11/24/50	Parole Violation	Pending

JRP:SJC
CG 58-194

IV. Possible Parole Violation as to Subject LOUIS CAMPAGNA

During the course of this current investigation, requested by Attorney General McGRANERY, no records, evidence or witnesses who would be willing to testify have been located which might establish any illegal activities or conduct by Subject CAMPAGNA since his release from prison on August 13, 1947, which might be deemed a violation of the conditions of his parole.

Background and a brief summary of previous prosecutive action as to subject CAMPAGNA has been set out in the first part of this report.

An Identification Record for subject CAMPAGNA under FBI No. 618 863 is set forth as follows:

CONTRIBUTOR OF FINGERPRINTS	NAME AND NUMBER	ARRESTED OR RECEIVED	CHARGE	DISPOSITION
*	#75173, PD, Chgo, Ill.	10-1-18	bank robbery	
SRef., Pontiac, Ill.	Louis Campagna #A-1968	4-3-19	robbery	1 to 14 yrs. *paroled 4-8-24
*	As Louis Campagna, Parole Officer and disch. 11-1-25.	10-10-24, vio. of parole; rel. on parole		TOT 11-11-24;
PD, Chgo., Ill.	Louis Carmini #C-44831	12-19-32	G.P.	
USM, Chgo., Ill.	Louis Campagna #6879	3-24-43	extortion and postal fraud	
USM, Chicago, Ill.	Louis Campagna #6879	4-7-43	extortion	
USM, NYC, N.Y.	Louis Campagna #—	6-8-43	mail fraud	5-6-47 nolle prosequi
FDH, New York, N.Y.	Louis Compagna #41918	2-15-44	Anti-Racketeering Act	10 yrs. 3-25-44 disch. to USP Atlanta

JRP:SJC
CG 58-194

CONTRIBUTOR OF FINGERPRINTS	NAME AND NUMBER	ARRESTED OR RECEIVED	CHARGE	DISPOSITION
USP, Atlanta, GA.	Louis Compagna #63773	4-4-44	consp. to interfere with trade and comm by violence, threats and coercion	10 yrs.
USP, Leavenworth, Kans.	Louis Compagna #62119	8-8-45 in trans from USP Atlanta Ga.	Consp. to interfere with trade and Commerce by violence Threats and Coercion	10 yrs.; appealed elected to serve; 8-13-47 paroled
USM, Chicago, Ill.	Louis Campagna #15763	7-23-48	Cond.Rel Vio	del. to USP Atlanta, Ga.
USP, Atlanta, Ga.	Louis Campagna #63773-A	7-24-48	P.V.-consp.to interfere with trade and comm. by violence, threats, and coercion	2398 days 12-20-48 disch. by order of Court

B. FALSELY CLAIMING CITIZENSHIP AND PERJURY AS TO SUBJECT CHARLES GIOE

I. Testimony Before Kevauver Committee, September 9, 1950

As a possible offense of falsely claiming citizenship and perjury by subject GIOE, he appeared before the Special Committee to Investigate Organized Crime in Interstate Commerce, U. S. Senate, popularly known as the Kefauver Committee, at Washington, D.C., on September 9, 1950 and testified under oath that he had always been a resident of Chicago and that he was born in Chicago. He testified at that time that he was 46 years of age.

CG 58-194
JRP:SJC

Records of Carpenter and Washington Grade Schools, Chicago, Illinois, for the period 1916 through 1919 contain the name of a pupil as CHARLES GIOE who was born in Italy on either February 6, 1905, February 6, 1907, February 9, 1907, or September 2, 1907.

Immigration and Naturalization Service, Chicago, Illinois, conducted investigation as to the citizenship status of subject GIOE and developed substantial evidence that GIOE is identical with one CIRO GIUE who entered the United States from Italy on February 20, 1908 at New York City at the age of ten months with his parents ONOFRIO and ROSALIA GIUE, citizens of Italy who never became naturalized citizens of the United States. Immigration authorities also obtained some information that a birth record for one CHARLES GIOE showing birth at 191 Morgan Street, Chicago, on February 29, 1904 was false or erroneous and was apparently not made in the due course of business at the Cook County Illinois Bureau of Vital Statistics.

The Statute of Limitations would bar possible prosecution of subject GIOE for falsely claiming citizenship or perjury in connection with the above mentioned testimony before the Kefauver Committee after the date of September 8, 1953.

a. Other Data Helpful to the United States Attorney

During investigation directed toward determining the date on which subject GICE was actually born, Mr. HOWARD B. BRODMAN, Superintendent of the Bureau of Maps and Plats, City of Chicago, City and County Building, Chicago, Illinois, advised that the address of 191 Morgan Street is no longer in existence having been changed in 1909. He stated that the location which was previously known as 191 Morgan Street is now 517 Morgan Street. According to Mr. BRODMAN, there are no residences or buildings of any kind at that address at the present time, such having been destroyed in connection with the construction of a highway in that area.

Records of the Board of Election Commissioners, City and County Building, Chicago, Illinois, reflected that during the year 1904 the address of 191 North Morgan Street was listed to one M. C. KNUDSON for voting purposes. These records contain no information indicating that anyone by the name of GIOE or any known aliases resided at that address at that time.

CG 58-194
JRP:SJC

Records of the Recorder of Deeds, Cook County Illinois, reflect an agreement dated April 15, 1920 between MACLAY HAYNE, States Attorney of Cook County Illinois, and MAGNUS C. KNUDSON whereby KNUDSON agreed not to sell or encumber the property then known as 517 and 519 North Morgan Street, Chicago. This information indicates that KNUDSON was the owner of this property at least until 1920.

b. Preliminary Prosecutive Action

On March 9, 1953 United States Attorney OTTO KERNER, JR., Chicago, advised that a Federal Grand Jury was held in [redacted] b3

[redacted] Mr. KERNER advised that [redacted] appeared before this Grand Jury on [redacted]

Mr. KERNER to be secret and not available as evidence or for prosecutive purposes at this time. Mr. KERNER stated that the immigration authorities directed their investigation toward a possible deportation proceeding against subject GIOE but that the possibility of such a deportation case has become very remote because of the fact that subject GIOE registered "under protest" as an alien at Chicago, Illinois on January 30, 1953.

c. Names and Addresses of Witnesses With Testimony and Documentary Evidence They Can Furnish

1. SA DANIEL J. McCARTHY
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Chicago, Illinois

SA McCARTHY can testify that he examined a transcript of the testimony of subject CHARLES GIOE given before the Special Committee to Investigate Organized Crime in Interstate Commerce, U. S. Senate, at Washington, D.C. This special committee is popularly known as the Kefauver Committee and the transcript of subject GIOE's testimony on page 76 disclosed that subject GIOE, under oath, answered questions as follows on September 9, 1950:

"Mr. ROBINSON: How old are you, Mr. GIOE?
Mr. GIOE: 46.
Mr. ROBINSON: Have you always been a resident of Chicago?
Mr. GIOE: Yes, Sir."

On Page 91 of this transcript the following questions and answers are also set forth as to subject GIOE, such answers also being under oath:

"Mr. HALLEY: Were you born in Chicago?
Mr. GIOE: Yes, Sir.
Mr. HALLEY: How old are you?
Mr. GIOE: 46.
Mr. HALLEY: You said you went to school with TONY ACCARDO?
Mr. GIOE: Yes, we were born in the same neighborhood and went to school together."

The transcript of testimony from which the above is quoted is a printed copy in possession of United States Attorney OTTO KERNER, JR., Chicago, and undoubtedly the Department of Justice has access to similar transcripts of this testimony.

2. [redacted]

[redacted] b7D

In response to a subpoena duces tecum, [redacted]

CG 58-194
JRP:SJC

[redacted]

[redacted]

b7D

[redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

OG 58-194
JRP:SJC

[redacted]

[redacted]

3. SA ROBERT W. KEMP
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Omaha, Nebraska

SA KEMP can testify that he examined the records of the Warren County Court House, Indianola, Iowa under Marriage Record Book No. T, page 535, showing the marriage of one CHARLES JOYE to MARIE CRAIG on January 3, 1934 at Indianola, Iowa. According to these records CHARLES JOYE was born on February 6, 1905 at Des Moines, Iowa.

It is to be noted that subject GIOE has used the spelling of his name as JOYE in connection with various places of employment, and in interviews during investigation of this case he has stated that he was married to MARIE CRAIG in Iowa on or about the date indicated above. b7D

4. Mr. ROBERT FLYNN
Record Custodian
Chicago Board of Education
School Record Depository
Crane High School basement
Jackson and Oakley Boulevard
Chicago, Illinois

Mr. FLYNN, in response to a subpoena duces tecum, [redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]

CG 58-194
JRP:SJC

[redacted]

b7D

Mr. FLYNN believes that the designation "It." opposite the place of birth is a designation meaning Italy and the "0" following the address 1158 on the records of the Carpenter School in the year 1916 - 1917 designates 1158 Ohio Street.

Mr. FLYNN can also [redacted]

[redacted]

Name of Pupil
Parent or Guardian
Place of Birth
Date of birth
Address

[redacted]

CG 58-194
JRP:SJC

[redacted]

Name of Pupil
Parent or Guardian
Place of birth
Date of Birth
Address

[redacted]

Name of Pupil
Parent or Guardian

Place of Birth
Date of Birth
Address

[redacted]

Name of Pupil
Parent or Guardian

Place of Birth
Date of birth
Address

[redacted]

Name of Pupil
Parent or Guardian

Place of Birth
Date of Birth
Address

[redacted]

Name of Pupil
Parent or Guardian

Date of Birth
Place of Birth
Address

[redacted]

Name of Pupil
Parent or Guardian

Place of Birth
Date of Birth
Address

[redacted]

b7D

CG 58-194
JRP:SJC

[redacted]

5. [redacted]

[redacted] can produce in answer to a subpoena duces tecum [redacted]

b7D

[redacted]

CG 58-194
JRP:SJC

[redacted]

b7D

The above described records in possession of [redacted]

6. [redacted]

In response to a subpoena duces tecum [redacted]

CG 58-194
JRP:SJC

[redacted]

7. [redacted]

In answer to a subpoena duces tecum [redacted]

[redacted]

b7D

8. [redacted]

In response to a subpoena duces tecum [redacted]

[redacted]

CG 58-194
JRP:SJC

9. ANTHONY SAM GIOE
Employed at Alamo Theatre
3639 West Chicago Avenue
Residence 829 North Lorel Avenue
Chicago, Illinois

ANTHONY GIOE can testify that he is a brother of subject CHARLES GIOE and will testify that to his knowledge he is the only living relative of subject GIOE here in the United States or abroad. He will testify that he understands from his parents, prior to their death, that he was born on September 8, 1911 at New York City but that he has no information as to the circumstances surrounding the birth of his brother CHARLES. During an interview on March 3, 1953 ANTHONY GIOE claimed that he could not explain how his brother could have been born in Chicago in 1904 or thereabout while he was born in New York City and baptised there. He stated that he has always believed that his brother CHARLES is 5 or 6 years older than he.

ANTHONY stated that his father died in 1934 at an age believed to be in the upper 70's while his mother died in 1940 believed to be in her late 60's. He said that he believed that his father could read Italian and write his name but could speak little English. ANTHONY verified that he was married to FEDORA DI NOVI at St. Frances of Rome Church, Cicero, Illinois, in 1941 and that he obtained his baptism record from St. Patrick's Cathedral, New York City, in connection with his marriage.

ANTHONY GIOE will testify that the Delayed Series Birth Certificate on file in the Cook County Bureau of Vital Statistics was a registration made for the purpose of showing that he (ANTHONY) was 16 years of age, in 1925 so that he could secure employment requiring that he be at least 16 years old. ANTHONY claimed to be unable to furnish information as to who actually filed the necessary documents for such birth registration and that he had no knowledge of any affidavit filed later to correct the date of birth from 1909 to 1910. ANTHONY claims that he has always believed that his brother CHARLES was born in Chicago basing such opinion on remarks made by his parents prior to their death.

As will be noted from the foregoing testimony and documentary evidence, available records indicate that ONOFRIO GIOE is identical with ONOFRIC GIUE who entered the United States at New York City on February 20, 1908 accompanied by his wife ROSALIA and 10-month old son CIRO. This conclusion is drawn from the declaration of intention (first citizenship papers)

described above which show that ONOFRIO GIOE who filed such application has the same date of birth, namely February 11, 1886 in Italy or ONOFRIO GIUE who entered the United States at New York City aboard the same vessel although the citizenship application shows the date of such entry as February, 1906 while the Port of Entry records, New York City, showed the date of entry of ONOFRIO GIUE to be February 20, 1908. It is also noted that ANTHONY GIOE, youngest brother of subject CHARLES, was born in New York city on September 8 or 13, 1911 and was baptised at New York City on December 2, 1911. The next known information on the GIOE family appears to be school records showing that both ANTHONY and CHARLES GIOE entered Carpenter School, Chicago, in January, 1917 with no prior record of school attendance having been located as to subject CHARLES GIOE. This date indicates possible school attendance by subject GIOE in some other city, possibly New York City and might indicate that subject GIOE had knowledge that he was not actually born in Chicago.

The identification record of subject GIOE has been previously set out in this report.

C. ALLEGATIONS OF BRIBERY, INFLUENCE OR MISCONDUCT RELATING TO PAROLES OF ALL THREE SUBJECTS

I. Allegation by ALLEN BERNARD 413 East 52nd Street New York City, New York

By memorandum dated July 10, 1952 Mr. ROBERT A. COLLIER, Chief Counsel, CHELF Committee, Washington, D. C., furnished information to the Department of Justice and to the Bureau that he had information to prove that $750,000 was paid to obtain the parole of 4 gangsters. BERNARD was stated to have alleged that the payoff involved three people representing the gangsters and three individuals who received the $750,000. Attorney General TOM CLARK, according to BERNARD, received $250,000 and another similar amount went to a "place higher than CLARK" which he indicated was someone in the White House. BERNARD was reported to have claimed that he could back up his allegations by sworn statement but that he refused to identify his sources of information. BERNARD also was stated to have mentioned MAURY HUGHES, a Dallas, Texas attorney who, according to BERNARD, had misrepresented facts before investigating bodies inquiring into instant parole matter.

CG 58-194
JRP:SJC

Mr. COLLIER's memorandum characterized BERNARD as a free-lance reporter for the New York "Journal American" and that he had at one time edited a magazine in Mexico. Mr. COLLIER's memorandum indicated that Congressman FRED E. BUSBEY believed that one of the key persons in this parole case was one NED BAKE or NED BAKES, a Chicago politician with connections with the underworld.

Investigation conducted pursuant to the current request by Attorney General McGRANERY has failed to produce any admissable evidence or documentary evidence to support or prove any of the allegations made by ALLEN BERNARD as set forth above; however, some information which will be set forth later in this report indicates that MAURY HUGHES, Dallas Texas attorney, and NED BAKES have not been completely cooperative during interviews in this case.

Other allegations concerning possible bribery or influence in connection with the paroles of subjects of this case include one by CLAUDE C. WESTERFELD, 5434 Vanderbilt, Dallas, Texas, who advised in a letter to Attorney General McGRANERY dated December 3, 1952 that "TOM CLARK came to Dallas under cover of secrecy to hold a meeting with a local attorney, MAURY HUGHES, and immediately afterward these persons were free." In his letter WESTERFELD identified the persons he referred to as being "certain members of the CAPONE Gang." In an interview with agents of the Dallas Office on December 16, 1952 Mr. WESTERFELD stated that he had no first-hand information concerning this matter and that the information in his letter to the Attorney General was based on "street talk" and he was unable to recall the source of such allegations or to suggest the identity of persons who could give first-hand information or evidence.

Another allegation received from one EARL W. TAYLOR, 630 Geary Street, San Francisco, California, to the effect that while he (TAYLOR) was confined as a prisoner at the U. S. Medical Center, Springfield, Missouri, he had been contacted by St. Louis attorney PAUL DILLON with a proposal that DILLON could secure TAYLOR's release on parole. During DILLON's visit, according to TAYLOR, DILLON stated that he was the one who had secured the paroles for subjects of this case when nobody in the United States could accomplish such. Subsequent investigation has failed to produce any witnesses or evidence to support this allegation. It is noted that the records of the U. S. Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas, characterized TAYLOR as being a psychopathic personality with psychotic trends and a history of syphillis and paresis of the central nervous system.

CG 58-194
JRP:SJC

[redacted]

b7D

A further allegation was received from one HERBERT KENNETH DEATON, an inmate at the Madison County Jail, Edwardsville, Illinois. On January 8, 1953 DEATON furnished information to agents of the Springfield Office that he was incarcerated at the U. S. Penitentiary, Atlanta, Georgia, as No. 53039 from February, 1938 to October, 1944, during part of which time subjects of this case were there. DEATON alleged that PHILLIP D'ANDREA, one of the persons convicted with the subjects of this case (now deceased), had him (DEATON) convey a message to a person identified only as "GUSSIE" to the effect that $225,000 was to be paid to the representative of U. S. Parole Board member T. WEBBER WILSON (also now deceased). Investigation has failed to identify the individual "GUSSIE" mentioned by DEATON or to substantiate any of the other information furnished by DEATON. It should be noted that during interviews with DEATON he indicated that he was furnishing information with the hope that he would be admitted to the U. S. Medical Center, Springfield, Missouri for medical attention which he believes that he needs.

A further allegation was received from one JOSEPH FERMAN LIETO, TPS No. 116264, Texas State Penitentiary, Huntsville, Texas, where LIETO is presently incarcerated. LIETO advised agents of the Dallas Office on December 10, 1952 that he was at the U. S. Penitentiary, Leavenworth, Kansas in September, 1945 and until August, 1947. He alleged that he was in close contact with subjects of this case and that he learned that an unknown St. Louis lawyer and MAURY HUGHES, Dallas Texas attorney, were instrumental in securing paroles for subjects of this case by paying an undisclosed sum of money to the then Attorney General TOM CLARK. No supporting information or evidence has been obtained during this current investigation to corroborate information furnished by LIETO. It should be noted that Dr. RUPERT C. KOENINGER, Texas Prison Psychiatrist, characterized LIETO as a psychopath with a mental age of 13. During the interview with LIETO he made numerous mentions of making a deal to reveal his alleged knowledge in return for freedom from the sentence he was serving at that time.

OG 58-194
JRP:SJC

With respect to some of the allegations set forth above relating to the part played by MAURY HUGHES, Dallas Texas attorney, there is being set forth hereinafter information and possible testimony of persons who have been interviewed, such information indicating that attorney HUGHES has not given complete and truthful information concerning his representation of the subjects of this case.

Attorney HUGHES has stated during interviews that Mrs. MAE BELCHER and NED C. BAKES (who will be further identified later in this report) played no part in his being employed on behalf of the subjects. HUGHES has stated that a mysterious "MIKE RYAN" employed him in the spring of 1946 for a fee of $15,000 to secure dismissal of mail fraud indictments pending against the subjects in U. S. District Court, Southern District of New York, such dismissal being considered a pre-requisite to parole for the subjects. This "MIKE RYAN" has never been further identified. HUGHES has also denied that MURRAY HUMPHRIES, Chicago ex-convict, had any connection with HUGHES' representation of subjects.

Mrs. MAE BELCHER
4320 Beverly Drive
Dallas, Texas
phone Justin 5091

Mrs. BELCHER, during interviews during this current investigation, advised agents of the Dallas Office that she met NED BAKES in Los Angeles in early 1946 and thereafter arranged a meeting between BAKES, MURRAY HUMPHRIES, Chicago ex-convict, and Dallas, Texas attorney MAURY HUGHES, such meeting taking place at the Baker Hotel in Dallas, Texas. At this meeting HUGHES was retained by BAKES and HUMPHRIES as attorney for subjects and Mrs. BELCHER traveled with HUGHES, CLYDE HOOD, former Assistant United States Attorney, Northern District of Texas, HOWARD DAILEY, Dallas attorney, and one EARL J. WELCH, Los Angeles promoter, to Chicago in the spring of 1946 where HUGHES had further meetings with BAKES and HUMPHRIES. This party subsequently traveled to New York City, such trip believed by Mrs. BELCHER to have been made by HUGHES on behalf of subjects. Mrs. BELCHER stated that she attended a meeting at the Chez Paree night club, Chicago, on November 10, 1946 in company with HUGHES, BAKES, and MURRAY HUMPHRIES. She has a book entitled "Some of These Days" autographed by SOPHIE TUCKER, night club entertainer, on November 10, 1946 to substantiate the date of such party. Mrs. BELCHER stated that she is reluctant to testify to information she has concerning this matter but would testify if subpoenaed before a duly constituted body.

CG 58-194
JRP:SJC

CLYDE G. HOOD
Attorney
Republic Bank Building
Dallas, Texas

Mr. HOOD can testify that he was in a party including MAURY HUGHES, HOWARD DAILEY, MAE BELCHER, and EARL WELCH which traveled from Dallas, Texas to Chicago, Illinois in April, 1946. The entire party stayed at the Stevens Hotel, Chicago, where HUGHES held frequent conferences with one NED BAKES whose photograph Mr. HOOD identified. Mr. HOOD stated that he has no knowledge of the nature of business between BAKES and HUGHES but he would be willing to testify to his knowledge of such meetings.

HOWARD G. DAILEY
800 North Crawford Street
Dallas, Texas

Mr. DAILEY advised during interview that he recalled the Chicago meeting at the Stevens Hotel in early 1946 and that he recalls that MAE BELCHER associated with MAURY HUGHES and NED BAKES during this meeting. He did not know the nature of the business discussed by HUGHES, BAKES, and BELCHER but he would be willing to testify to his knowledge that such meetings actually took place.

EARL J. WELCH
424 South Alandale
Los Angeles, California

Mr. WELCH related during interviews that he recalls that NED BAKES was introduced to him as a person from Chicago, Illinois in April 1946 and that a short time later BAKES contacted WELCH asking for assistance in obtaining the release from prison of a "sick friend". Subsequently WELCH and BAKES met MAE BELCHER and the subject of this "sick friend" again came up and MAE BELCHER offered to introduce BAKES to her good friend MAURY HUGHES of Dallas, Texas. WELCH stated that he had nothing further to do with the transaction between BAKES and BELCHER and that he had no information concerning the way in which paroles of the subjects were secured.

ROBERT WILLIAM BOOS
523 North Beverly Drive
Beverly Hills, California

BOOS advised during interviews that he was formerly married to the daughter of Mrs. MAE BELCHER but has since been divorced. BOOS stated that he does

not desire to testify in connection with this matter unless he is subpoenaed and would prefer not to be involved if such could be avoided. He stated that he overheard conversations in his home and directly from his former mother-in-law MAE BELCHER that she introduced NED BAKES, Chicago, to MAURY HUGHES, Dallas, Texas attorney, the purpose being to assist BAKES and MURRAY HUMPHRIES of Chicago to obtain paroles for persons then in the penitentiary. Mrs. BELCHER told BOOS that she had anonymously informed radio commentator FULTON LEWIS, JR. that the paroles of subjects of this case were irregular and furnished Mr. LEWIS with some details. BOOS also stated that his wife and MAE BELCHER were once the guests of MURRAY HUMPHRIES, Chicago, at the Naples Italian Restaurant in Los Angeles, California but he could not recall the date of such party.

NED C. BAKES, 1118 South Ashland Avenue, Chicago, Illinois, was interviewed by Chicago agents during this investigation and he catagorically denied that he knew MURRAY HUMPHRIES and could not identify a photograph of HUMPHRIES. He also denied that he had retained or had any part in retaining MAURY HUGHES to represent the subjects of this case although he admitted having known HUGHES since about 1940 or 1944.

MURRAY HUMPHRIES, 7710 South Bennett Avenue, Chicago, Illinois, was interviewed on March 5, 1953 and he admitted that he is acquainted with MAE BELCHER, MAURY HUGHES, and NED BAKES. He said that he has known BAKES for many years as a local politician in Chicago. He said that he was introduced to MAE BELCHER by NED BAKES during a visit to Los Angeles, California the time believed by HUMPHRIES to have been prior to the release of subjects of this case on parole. HUMPHRIES stated that he met MAURY HUGHES in 1948 or 1949 in connection with a matter having no relationship whatsoever with subjects of this case. HUMPHRIES denied that he had anything to do with the employment of MAURY HUGHES to represent subjects of this case but also stated that he would furnish no information which might possibly hurt or incriminate the subjects of this case or cause their paroles to be revoked.

Since allegations of bribery and influence in this case have been indefinite and unsupported by documentary evidence or reliable testimony, it is not known when the Statute of Limintations would begin to operate as to possible violations of bribery statues; however, it is to be noted that subjects of this case were released on parole on August 13, 1947 and prosecution of possible violations occuring on or prior to that date would have been barred by the Statute of Limitations after August 12, 1950.

Identification records of all three subjects of this case have been set out previously in this report.

CG 58-194
JRP:SJC

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

REFERENCE: Buairtels to Chicago February 27 and March 5, 1953.

REPORT of the FBI LABORATORY

7-1

12726

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON D. C.

To: SAC, Chicago

March 19, 1953

There follows the report of the FBI Laboratory on the examination of evidence received from your office on March 13, 1953.

Re: LOUIS CAMPAGNA, was
CLAUDE GIOE, was
[illegible], was
[illegible]; PAROLE VIOLATOR;
[illegible] STANDARD U. S. CURRENCY

John Edgar Hoover, Director

YOUR FILE NO.
FBI FILE NO. 58-124
LAB. NO. 58-2000
D-163353 AK

Examination requested by: Addressee

Reference: Letter dated 3-11-53

Examination requested: Document

3/14/95 SPSA/AK

Specimens:

Qc2 Four Photostats of Certificate of Birth, D. C. 17074, for CLAUDE S GIOE, dated September 30, 1925, signed [illegible] GIOE. (Your Item 1)

Qc3 Four Photostats of Certificate of Birth, D. C. 16734, for ANTHONY GIOE, dated June 12, 1925, signed CLAUDE GIOE. (Your Item 2)

Qc4 Four photostats of State of Illinois, Department of Public Health, Division of Vital Statistics, Affidavit concerning ANTHONY GIOE, D. C. 1673h, signed by CLAUDE GIOE and attested to on June 12, 1925, by JOHN D. DRISCOLL, Notary Public. (Your Item 3)

Qc5 Four Photostats of handprinted affidavit dated March 15, 1927, signed, CLAUDE V. GIOE, notarized by JOHN D. DRISCOLL. (Your Item 4)

Qc6 Four Photostats of U.S.N. Declaration of Intention, Number 134134, dated June 20, 1921, signed, ORLANDO GIOE. (Your Item 5)

Qc7 Four Photostats of State of Illinois Department of Public Health, Division of Vital Statistics Affidavit concerning CLAUDE GIOE, D. C. 17074, signed ORLANDO GIOE and attested to on September 20, 1925, by JOHN D. DRISCOLL, Notary Public. (Your Item 6)

Tolson
Ladd
Nichols
Belmont
Clegg
Glavin
Harbo
Rosen
Tracy
Laughlin
Mohr
Winterrowd
Tele. Rm.
Holloman
Gandy

58-2000-2451

RECORDED - 18

MAR 30 1953

110

2 - New York
1 - Omaha

Page 1 JCC:vjw

Continued next page

12727

Kel Twenty-seven Photostats of documents bearing the known handwriting of CHARLES GIOE. (Your Items 7 through 19)

Ke2 Twenty-four photographs of documents bearing the known handwriting of ANTHONY GIOE. (Your Items 20 through 24)

Results of Examination:

Specimen Q1 in Bureau File 95-43765 previously submitted by your office with a letter dated February 11, 1952, in the case captioned "Charles Gioe, Suspected Alteration of Birth Record," which was subject of Laboratory report D-144369 dated February 20, 1952, has been consolidated into the instant case and has been assigned specimen Q1 in the instant case. Q1 in 95-43765 is the Register of Births, Book 25, referred to in paragraph three of your letter of March 11, 1953.

A definite conclusion could not be reached whether CHARLES GIOE, Ke1, prepared the "Charles Gioe" signatures on specimens Qe3 and Qe4 or the "Charles V. Gioe" signature on Qe5 due to the long lapse of time between the preparation of the known and questioned signatures.

A definite conclusion could not be reached whether CHARLES GIOE, Ke1, prepared the ONOFRIO GIOE signatures on Qe2 and Qe7 due partially to the fact that the known signatures are not sufficiently comparable with the questioned signatures and further due to the lapse of time between the writing of the known and questioned signatures.

It was concluded that the ONOFRIO GIOE signature on Qe6 was not written by the person who prepared the signatures in the same name on Qe2 and Qe7.

If known handwriting specimens of ONOFRIO GIOE are submitted by the New York or Omaha Offices the examination requested under item four on page six of your letter of March 11, 1953, will be made.

A definite conclusion could not be reached whether ANTHONY GIOE, Ke2, prepared any of the questioned signatures on specimens Qe2 through Qe5 and Qe7 due partially to the fact that the known handwriting specimens of ANTHONY GIOE are not comparable to the questioned signatures but more especially due to the fact that there is a long lapse of time between the writing of the known and questioned signatures.

Continued next page

The signatures of JOHN DRACONETTI on specimens Qe4, Qe5 and Qe7 are not sufficiently comparable with the handwriting in the bodies of specimens Qe2, Qe3, Qe4 and Qe7 to warrant any definite opinions one way or the other as to whether or not DRACONETTI prepared any of the writing in the bodies of the questioned specimens. In this connection it is noted that several significant handwriting characteristics were observed common to the writing in the bodies of specimens Qe3 and Qe4 which would indicate the possibility that this writing may have been prepared by one individual. Also there are several significant handwriting characteristics present in the handwriting in the bodies of specimens Qe2 and Qe7 which indicate that those two documents may have been prepared by a second individual. A definite conclusion cannot be reached with respect to this questioned writing on Qe3, Qe4 and Qe2 and Qe7 due to the lack of sufficient comparable wording.

It was concluded that the signatures of the registrar on Qe2 and Qe3 in the name "M. O. Heckard" were written by two different individuals.

The submitted evidence is retained.

FD-36

Mr. Tolson
Mr. Ladd
Mr. Nichols
Mr. Belmont
Mr. Clegg
Mr. Glavin
Mr. Harbo
Mr. Rosen
Mr. Tracy
Mr. Gearty
Mr. Mohr
Mr. Winterrowd
Tele. Room
Mr. Holloman
Mr. Sizoo
Miss Gandy

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

AIRTEL

Transmit the following ~~Teletype~~ message to:

FBI, CHICAGO MARCH 24, 1953

DIRECTOR, FBI (58-2000)

LOUIS CAMPAGNA, WAS; CHARLES GIOE, WAS. CHARLES V. GIOE, CHARLES JAMES JOYE, CHARLIE JOY, CHARLES VOLTRO, CIRO GIUE, QUOTE CHERRYNOSE UNQUOTE, BRIBERY, PAROLE MATTER, FCUSC, PERJURY. REPRP SA JOHN R. PHILIPS, JR., CHICAGO, FEB. TWENTYSIX, FIFTYTHREE. RACHEL DRAGONETTI, SISTER OF JOHN ERNEST DRAGONETTI, AKA. DRAGONETTE, INTERVIEWED AND CLAIMS BROTHER HAS BEEN GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEE ASSIGNED TO FOREIGN DUTY FOR TEN YEARS OR MORE AND HAS SERVED IN JAPAN AND GERMANY AND LAST HEARD FROM HIM ABOUT JUNE, FIFTYONE, BROTHER SAID HE WAS ENROUTE TO ALASKA. RACHEL APPEARS NEUROTIC AND CLAIMS IGNORANCE OF IDENTITY GOVERNMENT AGENCY EMPLOYING DRAGONETTI OR HIS PRESENT ADDRESS.

JRP/hms
58-194

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 3/14/95 BY SP5 alw

RECORDED - 9 58-2000-2452

MAR 26 1953

24

cc: 2 - Anchorage (Encs. 6)
2 - MFO (58-261)

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

Referral/Consult

G. I. Rpts 3

FD-36

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

AIRTEL

Transmit the following ~~Teletype~~ message to:

PAGE TWO

Referral/Consult

[redacted]

[redacted] INQUIRY RECEIVED BY LOCAL LOAN CO., MAY NINE, FORTYONE, FROM AMERICAN BEDDING AND CARPET CO., WASHINGTON, D.C., REGARDING POSITION. ANCHORAGE REQUESTED TO LOCATE AND INTERVIEW JOHN ERNEST DRAGONETTI THROUGH FAR EAST COMMAND OFFICIALS, IN ACCORDANCE WITH INFORMATION SET OUT HEREINAFTER. AS BACKGROUND FOR ANCHORAGE, FORMER A.G. MC GRANERY REQUESTED BUREAU TO INVESTIGATE ACTIVITIES OF CAPTIONED SUBJECTS FOR POSSIBLE ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES SINCE SUBJECT'S RELEASE FROM USP, LEAVENWORTH, ON PAROLE AUGUST, FORTYSEVEN. DURING INVESTIGATION, DATA RECEIVED FROM IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION SERVICE, CHICAGO, THAT SUBSTANTIAL PROOF DEVELOPED THAT SUBJECT CHARLES GIOE IS ALIEN, BORN PALERMO, ITALY, AS CIRO GIUE, MARCH NINE,

Approved:_____ Sent_____M Per_____

Special Agent in Charge

FD-36

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

AIRTEL

Transmit the following ~~Teletype~~ message to:

PAGE THREE

NINETEEN SEVEN, AND ENTERED THE US FEB. TWENTY, NINETEEN EIGHT, AT NEW YORK CITY WITH HIS PARENTS ONOFRIO GIOE AND ROSALIA GIPPETTO, BOTH CITIZENS OF ITALY. COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS, VITAL STATISTICS RECORDS CONTAINS ENTRY SHOWING CHARLES GIOE BORN FEB. TWENTYNINE, NINETEEN FOUR, AT CHICAGO, BUT HANDWRITING EXAMINATIONS INDICATE THIS ENTRY DIFFERENT TO OTHERS AT THAT TIME AND MAY BE FRAUDULENT. DELAYED BIRTH REGISTRATION FOR SUBJECT GIOE PURPORTEDLY FILED BY FATHER, ONOFRIO, SEPT. THIRTY, TWENTYFIVE, SHOWS BIRTH FEB. SIX, NINETEEN FOUR. AN AFFIDAVIT SIGNED WITH NAME ONOFRIO GIOE SUPPORTING THIS DELAYED REGISTRATION WAS NOTARIZED BY DRAGONETTI. ANOTHER DELAYED SERIES BIRTH REGISTRATION PURPORTED TO BE RECORD FOR ANTHONY GIOE, BROTHER OF SUBJECT GIOE, SHOWING BIRTH FEB. SIX, NINETEEN NINE, AT CHICAGO. THIS DELAYED REGISTRATION WAS DATED JUNE TWELVE, TWENTYFIVE, AND WAS SIGNED QUOTE CHARLES GIOE, BROTHER UNQUOTE. AN AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF THIS REGISTRATION WAS SIGNED CHARLES GIOE AND NOTARIZED BY JOHN E. DRAGONETTI. AN AMENDMENT TO THE DELAYED REGISTRATION OF ANTHONY GIOE, DATED MARCH FIFTEEN, TWENTYSEVEN, AND SIGNED CHARLES V. GIOE, STATED ANTHONY'S TRUE BIRTH DATE WAS SEPT. EIGHT, TEN, AT CHICAGO

Approved:_____ Sent_____M Per_____

Special Agent in Charge

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

AIRTEL

Transmit the following ~~Teletype~~ message to:

PAGE FOUR

INSTEAD OF FEB. SIX, NINETEEN NINE. THIS AMENDMENT WAS ALSO NOTARIZED BY JOHN J. DRAGONETTI. DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE AND INTERVIEW WITH ANTHONY GIOE, SUBJECT'S BROTHER, ESTABLISHED HE WAS ACTUALLY BORN SEPT. THIRTEEN, ELEVEN, AT NEW YORK CITY. ANTHONY CLAIMS HIS DELAYED REGISTRATION WAS ONLY FOR PURPOSE OF OBTAINING BIRTH CERTIFICATE TO SECURE EMPLOYMENT REQUIRING HE BE SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE. ANTHONY CLAIMED NO RECOLLECTION OR KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HIS AND SUBJECT GIOE'S BIRTH RECORDS MADE OR WHETHER THOSE OF SUBJECT GIOE ARE FALSE. FORMER EMPLOYER, LOCAL LOAN CO., ADVISED DRAGONETTI WELL KNOWN IN CITY AND COUNTY COURTHOUSE, CHICAGO, AND HAD MANY CONTACTS THERE. SISTER RACHEL STATES DRAGONETTI WENT TO SCHOOL IN SAME NEIGHBORHOOD AS SUBJECT GIOE. NO OTHER ASSOCIATION BETWEEN SUBJECT AND DRAGONETTI NOW KNOWN BUT CIRCUMSTANCES INDICATE DRAGONETTI COULD HAVE ASSISTED IN POSSIBLE FRAUDULENT BIRTH RECORDING FOR SUBJECT. ANOFRIO GIOE, BELIEVED TO BE IDENTICAL WITH SUBJECT'S FATHER, FILED FIRST CITIZENSHIP PAPERS, CIRCUIT COURT, COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS, JUNE TWENTY, TWENTYONE, SHOWING BORN MARINEO, ITALY, FEB. ELEVEN, EIGHTEEN FIFTYSIX, SAME AS NEW YORK COURT OF ENTRY RECORDS FOR ANOFRIO GIOE, MENTIONED ABOVE. SUBJECT'S FATHER HAS BEEN DESCRIBED AS ILLITERATE LABORER WHO PROBABLY COULD ONLY

Approved:_____ Sent_____M Per_____

Special Agent in Charge

FD-36

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

AIRTEL

Transmit the following ~~Teletype~~ message to:

PAGE FIVE

WRITE HIS NAME. SUBJECT'S FATHER AND MOTHER BOTH DECEASED NINETEEN THIRTYFOUR AND NINETEEN FORTY RESPECTIVELY. ANOFRIO GIOE DESCRIBED IN FIRST CITIZENSHIP PAPERS AS FIVE FEET EIGHT INCHES, ONE HUNDRED FORTY LBS., GRAY HAIR, BROWN EYES, AND ACCORDING TO ANTHONY GIOE, WAS PARTIALLY CRIPPLED ONE LEG FROM TRAFFIC ACCIDENT SOMETIME IN NINETEEN TWENTIES AND WAS IN LATE SEVENTIES AT TIME OF DEATH IN NINETEEN THIRTYFOUR. PHOTOSTATIC COPIES OF BIRTH RECORDS AND AFFIDAVIT NOTARIZED BY DRAGONETTI BEING ENCLOSED TO ANCHORAGE ALONG WITH PHOTOSTAT OF FIRST CITIZENSHIP PAPERS OF ANOFRIO GIOE. FBI LAB CONDUCTED HANDWRITING EXAMINATION THESE DOCUMENTS AND ADVISED NO CONCLUSION REACHED TO POSITIVELY IDENTIFY PERSON OR PERSONS WRITING THESE DOCUMENTS, HOWEVER, IT WAS CONCLUDED THAT THE ANOFRIO GIOE SIGNATURE ON THE FIRST CITIZENSHIP PAPERS WAS NOT WRITTEN BY THE SAME PERSON WHO PREPARED THE SIGNATURES IN THE SAME NAME ON THE DELAYED BIRTH REGISTRATION FOR SUBJECT CHARLES GIOE DATED SEPT. THIRTY, TWENTYFIVE, AND THE SUPPORTING AFFIDAVIT UNDER SAME DATE NOTARIZED BY DRAGONETTI. FBI LAB ALSO ADVISED THERE ARE SEVERAL SIGNIFICANT HANDWRITING CHARACTERISTICS PRESENT IN HANDWRITING IN BODIES OF THE CHARLES GIOE DELAYED BIRTH CERTIFICATE AND SUPPORTING AFFIDAVIT WHICH INDICATE THESE TWO DOCUMENTS MAY

Approved:_____ Sent_____M Per_____

Special Agent in Charge

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

AIRTEL

Transmit the following ~~Teletype~~ message to:

PAGE SIX

HAVE BEEN PREPARED BY A DIFFERENT INDIVIDUAL THAN OTHER DOCUMENTS BEING ENCLOSED HEREWITH. ANCHORAGE REQUESTED TO THOROUGHLY INTERVIEW DRAGONETTI CONCERNING THESE RECORDS FOR ALL INFORMATION HE WILL FURNISH INCLUDING TIME SUCH RECORDS ACTUALLY PREPARED AND FILED, IDENTITY OF PERSON SIGNING ANOFRIO GIOE TO SUBJECT GIOE'S DELAYED BIRTH REGISTRATIONS, MANNER IN WHICH SUCH RECORDS ENTERED AT COOK COUNTY BUREAU OF VITAL STATISTICS, PURPOSE OF SUCH DELAYED REGISTRATION, IT BEING NOTED THAT THERE IS AN ALLEGED CONCURRENT BIRTH RECORD FOR SUBJECT GIOE IN COOK COUNTY RECORDS, AND ANY AND ALL INFORMATION DRAGONETTI HAS AS TO THE TRUE DATE AND PLACE OF BIRTH OF SUBJECT GIOE AND HIS KNOWLEDGE THEREOF AND WHEN SUBJECT AND FAMILY CAME TO US AND CHICAGO. HESHOULD ALSO BE QUESTIONED CONCERNING THE CONCURRENT BIRTH RECORD OF SUBJECT GIOE FOR INFORMATION HE MIGHT HAVE ABOUT TIME AND MANNER SUCH RECORD WAS MADE, THAT RECORD BEING A HANDWRITTEN ENTRY IN BIRTH RECORDS FOR YEAR NINETEEN FOUR, BUT NOT SUPPORTED BY DOCTOR CERTIFICATE OR AFFIDAVIT NORMALLY REQUIRED AT THAT TIME. PURPOSE THIS INVESTIGATION IS TO ESTABLISH IF POSSIBLE THAT BIRTH RECORDS OF SUBJECT GIOE ARE FALSE AND THAT SUBJECT HAD KNOWLEDGE OF HIS

Approved:_____ Sent_____M Per_____

Special Agent in Charge

FD-36

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

AIRTEL

Transmit the following ~~Teletype~~ message to:

PAGE SEVEN

TRUE BIRTH IN ITALY AND OF FICTITIOUS NATURE OF COOK COUNTY RECORDS. WASHINGTON FIELD REQUESTED TO DETERMINE PRESENT WHEREABOUTS OF DRAGONETTI THROUGH CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION PAREN AS TO EMPLOYMENT WITH FAR EAST COMMAND, MENTIONED ABOVE END PAREN, PREVIOUS EMPLOYMENT AT H. S. ROBERTS, J. G. MOTYKA, AND J. K. BERRY, EIGHT THREE EIGHT WOODWARD BUILDING, AND AMERICAN BEDDING AND CARPET COMPANY, ALL WASHINGTON, D.C., AND FURNISH ANCHORAGE WITH INFORMATION TO LOCATE DRAGONETTI FOR INTERVIEW. IF DRAGONETTI NOW LOCATED ELSEWHERE, WASHINGTON FIELD REQUESTED TO SET OUT LEAD TO HAVE HIM INTERVIEW IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS AIRTEL. EXPEDITE. CHICAGO ORIGIN.

MALONE

cc: Mr Hanko

Approved:_____ Sent_____M Per_____

Special Agent in Charge

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Form No. 1
THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT CHICAGO KMC

REPORT MADE AT	DATE WHEN MADE	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE	REPORT MADE BY
NEW YORK	MAR 24 1953	3/11,12,13,17, 19,20/53	JACK E. HERRINGTON

TITLE	CHARACTER OF CASE
LOUIS CAMPAGNA, was; ET AL	BRIBERY; PAROLE MATTERS; FALSELY CLAIMING UNITED STATES CITIZENSHIP

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS: Baptism records regarding ANTONINO GIOE entered by Church personnel at St. Patrick's Old Cathedral. No record of policy for ROSALIA GIOE or ONOFRIO GIOE located at Metropolitan Life Insurance Company. Logical school records checked with negative results.

- RUC -

3/14/95 SPSA/CW

DETAILS:

Father LUIGI SANSEVERINO, Assistant Pastor, St. Patrick's Church (Old Cathedral), Mott and Prince Streets, advised that the records of ANTONINO GIOE were entered by Church personnel and are maintained in large books and not by individual certificates. He advised that the Church would have no handwriting specimens of either MR. or MRS. GIOE. Father SANSEVERINO also advised that in his records the surname was spelled GIOE and not GIUE.

THOMAS C. KNUDSEN, Senior Interviewer, Inquiry Department, Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, 1 Madison Avenue, New York, New York, caused a check to be made of the records of this company for both ROSALIA and ONOFRIO GIOE and all known variations in spelling together with other names by which they were known. MR. KNUDSEN advised that no record could be located of an insurance policy on either of the above.

APPROVED AND FORWARDED: SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES

58-2000-7 2453

RECORDED-52

COPIES OF THIS REPORT
4 - Bureau (58-2000)
2 - Chicago (58-194)
1 - New York (58-490)

52 APR 6 1953

21 MAR 27 1953

STAT. SECT.

PROPERTY OF FBI—THIS CONFIDENTIAL REPORT AND ITS CONTENTS ARE LOANED TO YOU BY THE FBI AND ARE NOT TO BE DISTRIBUTED OUTSIDE OF AGENCY TO WHICH LOANED.

☆ U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 16—59255-2

It is noted that the records of St. Patrick's School, which is the Parochial School affiliated with St. Patrick's Church, have been previously checked with negative results.

The records of the following Public Schools were checked and no record concerning CHARLES GIOE was located:

Public School 19	344 East 14 Street, New York.
Public School 21	216 Mott Street, New York.
Public School 23	Mulberry Street and Bayard Street, New York.
Public School 60	Avenue A and East 12 Street, New York.
Public School 61	610 East 12 Street, New York.

It is noted that the records of Public School 126, which school is no longer in existence, were located at 500 East 13 Street, and is the school which CHARLES GIOE most likely attended, if he resided at 532 East 13 Street, New York, New York.

It is also noted that there is no central indexing system at the New York City Board of Education and that, in order to locate school records in the elementary schools, it is necessary to locate the actual school attendant.

The above schools, which were checked, are those which are closest to the addresses of 532 East 13 Street and 299 Mott Street, New York, New York.

- RUC -

NY 58-490

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

REFERENCE: Chicago Airtel to Bureau, 3/7/53.

FD-36

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

AIR-TEL

Transmit the following ~~Teletype~~ message to:

FBI LOS ANGEIES 3-25-53 11:09 AM

DIRECTOR, FBI

LOUIS CAMPAGNA, WAS., ET AL; BRIBERY, PAROLE MATTERS, FCUSC. URFILE FIFTYEIGHT DASH TWO THOUSAND. REMY AIR-TEL MAR. EIGHTEENTH LAST. HELEN S. MACKIE, DAUGHTER OF BILLIE ROMA, ADVISED TODAY SHE HAS BEEN AWAY FROM LA FOR SEVERAL DAYS AND THAT HER MOTHER HAS NOT RETURNED TO THIS CITY FROM VACATION. MACKIE STATED HER MOTHER DEFINITELY PLANS TO RETURN LA SUNDAY, MAR. TWENTYNINE. APPOINTMENT MADE TO INTERVIEW MRS. ROMA MONDAY MORNING, MAR. THIRTY NEXT. REPORT WILL BE FORWARDED VIA AM FOLLOWING INTERVIEW.

CARSON

LJL:MAK

58-125

cc: 1 - Chicago (58-194)(AM)

1 - Dallas (58-59)(AM)

G I. R. -8

AIRMAIL

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 3/14/55 BY Sp Sc/Ac

RECORDED - 71 58-2000-2454

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

78 APR 9 1953

FD-36

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Transmit the following Teletype message to:

SAC, ANCHORAGE

DIRECTOR (58-2000) AIR TEL 3/27/53

LEUIS CAMPAGNA, WAS. CHARLES GIOE, CHARLES V. GIOE, CHARLES JAMES JOYE, CHARLIE JOY, CHARLES VELTRE, CIRO GIUE, FCUSC, Bribery, Parole Matter PERJURY. RE CHICAGO AIRTEL TO BUREAU MARCH TWENTYFOUR, FIFTY-THREE REQUESTING WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE TO DETERMINE WHETHER JOHN ERNEST DRAGONETTI IS EMPLOYED WITH THE FAR EAST COMMAND IN TOKYO AND ADVISE THE ANCHORAGE OFFICE. IN THE EVENT IT IS DETERMINED THAT DRAGONETTI IS EMPLOYED IN TOKYO, THE FOLLOWING IS BEING SUBMITTED FOR THE BUREAU-S CONSIDERATION. TOKYO IS ONLY EIGHTEEN HUNDRED MILES FROM SHEMYA ISLAND, THE MOST EASTERLY POINT COVERED BY THE ANCHORAGE OFFICE. EXCELLENT RELATIONS HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED BY THIS OFFICE WITH MAJOR GENERAL GEORGE ACHESON, COMMANDING GENERAL, ALASKA AIR COMMAND, USAF, AND HIS AIDE, CAPT. ROYAL LANCASTER, WHO RECENTLY ADVISED THAT HE WAS FLYING TO TOKYO ON ROUTINE MISSION IN NEAR FUTURE IN GENERAL'S PLANE AND OFFERED SERVICES OF THE PLANE TO THIS OFFICE. IN EVENT BUREAU DEEMS IT ADVISABLE, IT WOULD THEREFORE BE POSSIBLE FOR THIS OFFICE TO SEND AN AGENT TO INTERVIEW DRAGONETTI IN JAPAN, IN EVENT HE IS STILL ASSIGNED THERE, AT NO TRAVEL EXPENSE TO THE BUREAU.

RECORDED - 56

FAISST RECEIVED

cc: 2 Chicago (58-194)
2 Washington Field (58-261)

Approved: Special Agent in Charge

Sent M Per

cc: Mr Hoover

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

AIRTEL

Transmit the following ~~TELETYPE~~ message to:

FBI, CHICAGO APRIL 1, 1953

DIRECTOR, FBI (58-2000)

LOUIS CAMPAGNA, WAS; CHARLES GIOE, WAS, ET AL, BRIBERY, PAROLE MATTER FCUSC AND PERJURY. REMYAIRTEL MARCH TWENTYFOUR, LAST. NAME APPEARING IN REAIRTEL IN SOME INSTANCES AS ANOFRIO GIOE SHOULD BE SPELLED ONOFRIO. [redacted] [redacted] FURNISHED ON A CONFIDENTIAL BASIS, PERTINENT INFORMATION CONCERNING [redacted] [redacted] DRAGONETTI WORKED AT COOK COUNTY VITAL STATISTICS BUREAU, CHICAGO, ABOUT TWO YEARS AROUND NINETEEN TWENTYFOUR, AND WAS VERY FRIENDLY WITH EMPLOYEES OF THAT DEPARTMENT [redacted] [redacted] DRAGONETTI AND SUBJECT, GIOE, WERE FRIENDS FOR MANY YEARS AND [redacted] GIOE OCCASIONALLY POLISHED DRAGONETTI'S CAR. DRAGONETTI AND GIOE GREW UP IN SAME NEIGHBORHOOD AROUND GRAND AND HALSTED STREETS, CHICAGO,

b7D

JRP:ALA

58-194

58-2000-2456

RECORDED-86

27 APR 2 1953

CC - 2 - Washington Field (58-261) (Encls. - 8)
2 - Anchorage (Encl. - 1)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 9/14/95 BY SP5A/aw

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

58 APR 10 1953

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Transmit the following Teletype message to:

PAGE TWO

AND WERE APPARENTLY FRIENDS FROM BOYHOOD. STARTING ABOUT NINETEEN THIRTY, DRAGONETTI DID SOME BOOKKEEPING FOR CHICAGO HOODLUMS, INCLUDING ANTHONY ACCARDO, WA. JOE BATTERS, SUBJECTS LOUIS CAMPAGNA AND GIOE. THESE HOODLUMS WOULD BRING RECORDS TO THE DRAGONETTI APARTMENT ON SATURDAY NIGHTS WHERE POSTING AND OTHER WORK WAS DONE ON THE RECORDS. [redacted] BELIEVES SUCH RECORDS CONCERNED GAMBLING OPERATIONS, [redacted] NOT RECALL DETAILS CONTAINED THEREIN. MOST SUCH VISITS BY HOODLUMS OCCURRED [redacted] IN THREE THREE NAUGHT NAUGHT BLOCK OF CARROLL AVENUE, CHICAGO, AND ACCARDO MOST FREQUENT VISITOR [redacted] IDENTIFIED PHILIP O'D'ANDREA (NOW DECEASED) ANOTHER SUBJECT OF CAPTIONED CASE, AS OCCASIONAL VISITOR, BUT COULD NOT RECALL SUBJECT PAUL O'DE LUCIA. [redacted] CLAIMS NO KNOWLEDGE OF ANTI RACKETERING MATTER FOR WHICH SUBJECTS THIS CASE ORIGINALLY CONVICTED IN NINETEEN FORTYTHREE. [redacted] ADVISED THAT IN ABOUT NINETEEN THIRTYONE, [redacted] DRAGONETTI [redacted], DRAGONETTI INSTRUCTED [redacted]. AT THAT TIME, DRAGONETTI EXPLAINED THAT DIFFICUALTIES MIGHT RESULT IF [redacted]

b7D

Approved:_____ Sent_____M Per_____

Special Agent in Charge

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Transmit the following Teletype message to:

PAGE FIVE

DRIFTER, HORSE GAMBLER, AND THAT HE IS REPORTED TO BE A HEAVY DRINKER IN RECENT YEARS. PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION OF DRAGONETTI BY [redacted] IS; AGE FIFTYONE, BORN FEB. TWENTYTWO, NINETEEN NAUGHT TWO, AT CHICAGO, FIVE FEET SEVEN INCHES, TWO HUNDRED POUNDS, HEAVY BUILD, BROWN EYES, GREY HAIR, DARK COMPLEXION, OCCUPATION, ACCOUNTANT, NO KNOWN ARREST RECORD. WASHINGTON FIELD REQUESTED TO CHECK RED CROSS HEADQUARTERS, WASHINGTON, D. C., AND IN VIEW OF REPUTATION AS GAMBLER, AND DRINKING HABITS, CHECK METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT, WASHINGTON, FOR ARREST RECORD, FOR LEADS AS TO PRESENT WHEREABOUTS OF DRAGONETTI AND HAVE HIM THOROUGHLY INTERVIEWED FOR ALL KNOWLEDGE OF FALSE BIRTH RECORDS OF SUBJECT GIOE, INCLUDING HANDWRITING SPECIMENS AND IDENTITY OF PERSONS WHO MIGHT HAVE ASSISTED IN FALSIFICATION OF GIOE'S BIRTH RECORDS. NO ADDITIONAL INFORMATION DEVELOPED AT CG FROM RELATIVES OR FRIENDS AS TO PRESENT WHEREABOUTS OF DRAGONETTI. PHOTO OF DRAGONETTI TAKEN NINETEEN THIRTYFOUR BEING ENCLOSED TO WASHINGTON FIELD AND ANCHORAGE, AND WASHINGTON FIELD BEING FURNISHED WITH PHOTOSTATIC COPIES OF BIRTH RECORDS OF SUBJECT GIOE AND HIS BROTHER ANTHONY, AND CITIZENSHIP RECORD

b7D

Approved:_____ Sent_____M Per_____

Special Agent in Charge

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Transmit the following Teletype message to:

PAGE FOUR

SHOWING BORN AT CHICAGO. [redacted] IDENTIFIED CONTACTS OF DRAGONETTI AT CHICAGO VITAL STATISTICS BUREAU AS JAMES BERADO, WHO WORKED THERE AT SAME TIME AS DRAGONETTI AND IS STILL SO EMPLOYED, CHARLES SODERLING AND JOHN BERADO, PRESENTLY EMPLOYEES THERE BUT WHO STARTED SUCH EMPLOYMENT AFTER DRAGONETTI LEFT. [redacted] ADVISED DRAGONETTI FRIENDLY WITH THESE INDIVIDUALS FOR MANY YEARS AND [redacted] BELIEVES ANY OF THEM WOULD b7D HAVE ASSISTED IN MAKING UNAUTHORIZED ENTIRES IN CG BIRTH RECORDS. [redacted] BELIEVES DRAGONETTI LEFT CG ABOUT NINETTEN FORTY-ONE, [redacted] GOING TO WASHINGTON, D. C. TO WORK FOR RED CROSS AND DURING WORLD WAR II DID OVERSEAS WORK IN AUSTRALIA AND JAPAN. A SON, JOHN DRAGONETTI, JR., CORRESPONDED WITH HIS FATHER DURING THE WAR, USING SAN FRANCISCO APO NUMBER AS ADDRESS. [redacted] CORRESPONDED WITH RED CROSS HEADQUARTERS, WASHINGTON, DURING WORLD WAR II, AND VERIFIED DRAGONETTI SO EMPLOYED. [redacted] UNVERIFIED RUMOR THAT DRAGONETTI MAY HAVE TRANSFERRED TO ARMED FORCES DURING WAR. [redacted] DESCRIBED HIM AS A

Approved:_____ Sent_____M Per_____

Special Agent in Charge

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Transmit the following Teletype message to:

PAGE THREE

[redacted] PLACE OF BIRTH AND THAT CHICAGO BIRTH RECORDS COULD NOT BE CHECKED FOR VERIFICATION. SHORTLY THEREAFTER, IN NINETEEN THIRTYONE OR NINETEEN THIRTYTWO, DURING A VISIT BY UNIDENTIFIED FRIENDS AT THE DRAGONETTI APARTMENT IN THE NINE NAUGHT NAUGHT BLOCK OF KOSTNER AVENUE, CHICAGO, [redacted] OVERHEARD DRAGONETTI TELL FRIENDS SOMETHING ABOUT BIRTH RECORDS b7D OF SUBJECT CHARLES GIOE, MENTIONING THE DATE OF FEB. TWENTYNINE, AND THE FACT THAT SUCH DATE WOULD APPLY TO LEAP YEAR. BECAUSE OF THESE FACTS, PLUS CLOSE FRIENDSHIP BETWEEN DRAGONETTI AND GIOE, [redacted] BELIEVES DRAGONETTI PERFORMED SOME KIND OF FAVOR FOR SUBJECT GIOE IN CONNECTION WITH BIRTH RECORDS, HAVING TO DO WITH DATE OF FEB. TWENTYNINE AND A LEAP YEAR. SHE HAD IMPRESSION THAT SUCH BIRTH RECORD HAD ALREADY BEEN ACCOMPLISHED AT TIME OF CONVERSATION. IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT A CONCURRENT BIRTH RECORD FOR SUBJECT GIOE AT CHICAGO VITAL STATISTICS BUREAU, BELIEVED TO BE FICTITIOUS, SHOWS DATE OF BIRTH AS FEB. TWENTYNINE, NINETEEN NAUGHT FOUR. [redacted] ALSO RECALLED CONVERSATION [redacted] DRAGONETTI INDICATING THAT HE AND HIS FATHER, ERNEST (NOW DECEASED) ALSO ASSISTED OTHER UNIDENTIFIED ITALIAN IMMIGRANTS IN SECURING BIRTH RECORDS

Approved:_____ Sent_____M Per_____

Special Agent in Charge

FD-36

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Transmit the following Teletype message to:

PAGE SIX

OF FATHER, ONOFRIO, FOR USE IN INTERVIEW OF DRAGONETTI WHEN LOCATED. EXPEDITE AND SUREP PROMPTLY.

WEATHERFORD

cc-Mr Hoover

Approved:_____ Sent_____M Per_____

Special Agent in Charge

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE NEW YORK, 4/2/53

AIR TEL

Transmit the following Teletype message to: BUREAU

LOUIS CAMPAGNA, WAS., ETAL; BRIBERY, PAROLE MATTERS, FCUSC; PERJURY. RE WFO AIRTEL 3/30/53. CAPT. JOHN F. BARRETT, ADJUTANT, ARMY AUDITING AGENCY, N. Y. REGIONAL OFFICE, 180 VARICK ST., NYC, ADVISED JOHN E. DRAGONETTE, INDUSTRIAL COMPANIES ACCOUNTANT, ASSIGNED AS AUDITOR TO THE METCALFE, HAMILTON, SMITH, BECK COMPANIES, KEFLAUIK, ICELAND. DRAGONETTE MAY BE LOCATED THROUGH ABOVE COMPANIES. IT IS BEING LEFT TO THE DISCRETION OF CHICAGO, THE OFFICE OF ORIGIN, TO REQUEST LIAISON COVERAGE AT ICELAND. RUC

cc: Mr Hoagland

BOARDMAN

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 3/14/95 BY SP5 alc

RECORDED-77

3 - Bureau (Regular Mail Registered)
1 - Chicago (Regular Mail Registered)
1 - Anchorage (Regular Mail Registered)

58-2457

APR 3 1953

JEH:FC (#5)
58-490

Approved:_____ Sent_____M Per_____

Special Agent in Charge

AIR TEL

3-30-53

WASH FROM WASH FIELD 30 4:00 PM

DIRECTOR AND SACS ANCHORAGE URGENT
CHICAGO
NEW YORK

LOUIS CAMPAGNA, WAS, ET AL, BRIBERY, PAROLE MATTER, FCUSC, PERJURY. RE CHICAGO AIRTEL 3-24-53. FOR INFORMATION NEW YORK NOW REQUESTED AIRTEL SETS OUT LEAD FOR ANCHORAGE TO LOCATE AND INTERVIEW JOHN ERNEST DRAGONETTI, AKA, JOHN ERNEST DRAGONETTE, ALONG FOLLOWING LINES. DURING INVESTIGATION DATA RECEIVED FROM INS, CHGO THAT SUBSTANTIAL PROOF DEVELOPED THAT SUBJECT CHARLES GIOE IS ALIEN, BORN PALERMO, ITALY, AS CIRO GIUE, 3-9-07, AND ENTERED THE US 2-20-08 AT NYC WITH HIS PARENTS, ONOFRIO GIUE, AND ROSALIE GIPPETTO, BORN CITIZENS OF ITALY. COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS VITAL STATISTICS RECORDS CONTAIN ENTRY SHOWING CHARLES GIOE BORN 2-29-04 AT CHGO, BUT HANDWRITING EXAMINATIONS INDICATE THIS ENTRY DIFFERENT TO OTHERS AT THAT TIME AND MAY BE FRAUDULENT. DELAYED BIRTH REGISTRATION FOR SUBJECT GIOE PURPORTEDLY FILED BY FATHER, ONOFRIO, 7-30-25, SHOWS BIRTH 2-6-04. AN AFFIDAVIT SIGNED WITH NAME ONOFRIO GIOE SUPPORTING THIS DELAYED REGISTRATION WAS NOTARIZED BY DRAGONETTI. ANOTHER DELAYED SERIES BIRTH REGISTRATION PURPORTED TO BE RECORD FOR ANTHONY GIOE, BROTHER OF SUBJECT GIOE, SHOWING BIRTH 2-6-09 AT CHGO. THIS DELAYED REGISTRATION WAS DATED 6-12-25 AND WAS SIGNED "CHARLES GIOE, BROTHER". AN AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF THIS REGISTRATION WAS SIGNED CHARLES GIOE AND NOTARIZED BY JOHN E. DRAGONETTI. AN AMENDMENT TO THE DELAYED REGISTRATION OF ANTHONY GIOE, DATED 3-15-27,

2458

RNW:jlf
58-261

3/14/95 SPSA/CW

AND SIGNED CHARLES J. GIOE, MOVED ANTHONY'S TRUE BIRTH DATE WAS 9-13-10 AT CHICAGO INSTEAD OF 2-6-09. THIS AFFIDAVIT WAS ALSO NOTARIZED BY JOHN E. DRAGONETTI. DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE AND INTERVIEW WITH ANTHONY GIOE, SUBJECT'S BROTHER, ESTABLISHED HE WAS ACTUALLY BORN 9-13-11 AT NYC. ANTHONY CLAIMS HIS DELAYED REGISTRATION WAS ONLY FOR PURPOSE OF OBTAINING BIRTH CERTIFICATE TO SECURE EMPLOYMENT REQUIRING HE BE SIXTEEN YEARS OF AGE. ANTHONY CLAIMED NO RECOLLECTION OR KNOWLEDGE OF HOW HIS AND SUBJECT GIOE'S BIRTH RECORDS WERE MADE OR WHETHER THOSE OF SUBJECT GIOE ARE FALSE. FORMER EMPLOYER OF DRAGONETTI ADVISED DRAGONETTI WELL KNOWN IN CITY AND COUNTY COURTHOUSE, CHICAGO, AND HAD MANY CONTACTS THERE. REPORTED TO HAVE ATTENDED SCHOOL IN SAME NEIGHBORHOOD AS SUBJECT GIOE. NO OTHER ASSOCIATION BETWEEN SUBJECT AND DRAGONETTI NOW KNOWN BUT CIRCUMSTANCES INDICATE DRAGONETTI COULD HAVE ASSISTED IN POSSIBLE FRAUDULENT BIRTH RECORDING FOR SUBJECT. ANOFRIO GIOE, BELIEVED TO BE IDENTICAL WITH SUBJECT'S FATHER, FILED FIRST CITIZENSHIP PAPERS, CIRCUIT COURT, COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS, JUNE TWENTY, TWENTYONE, SHOWING BORN SARINLO, ITALY, 2-11-56, SAME AS NEW YORK COURT OF ENTRY RECORDS FOR ANOFRIO GIUE, MENTIONED ABOVE. SUBJECT'S FATHER HAS BEEN DESCRIBED AS ILLITERATE LABORER WHO PROBABLY COULD ONLY WRITE HIS NAME. DESCRIBED IN FIRST CITIZENSHIP PAPERS AS FIVE FEET EIGHT INCHES, ONE HUNDRED FORTY POUNDS, GRAY HAIR, BROWN EYES, AND ACCORDING TO ANTHONY GIOE WAS PARTIALLY CRIPPLED ONE LEG FROM TRAFFIC ACCIDENT SOME TIME IN NINETEEN TWENTIES. PHOTOSTATIC COPIES OF BIRTH RECORDS AND AFFIDAVITS NOTARIZED BY DRAGONETTI WERE FORWARDED

BY THE CHICAGO OFFICE TO ANCHORAGE ALONG WITH PHOTOSTAT OF FIRST CITIZENSHIP PAPERS OF ANOFRIO GIOE. FBI LAB CONDUCTED HANDWRITING EXAMINATION THESE DOCUMENTS AND ADVISED NO CONCLUSION REACHED TO POSITIVELY IDENTIFY PERSON OR PERSONS WRITING THESE DOCUMENTS, HOWEVER, IT WAS CONCLUDED THAT THE ANOFRIO GIOE SIGNATURE ON THE FIRST CITIZENSHIP PAPERS WAS NOT WRITTEN BY THE SAME PERSON WHO PREPARED THE SIGNATURES IN THE SAME MANNER ON THE DELAYED BIRTH REGISTRATION FOR SUBJECT CHARLES GIOE DATED 9-30-25 AND THE SUPPORTING AFFIDAVIT UNDER SAME DATE NOTARIZED BY DRAGONETTI. THE LAB ALSO ADVISED THERE ARE SEVERAL SIGNIFICANT HANDWRITING CHARACTERISTICS PRESENT IN HANDWRITING IN BODIES OF THE CHARLES GIOE DELAYED BIRTH CERTIFICATE AND SUPPORTING AFFIDAVIT WHICH INDICATES THESE TWO DOCUMENTS MAY HAVE BEEN PREPARED BY A DIFFERENT INDIVIDUAL THAN OTHER DOCUMENTS WHICH WERE FORWARDED TO ANCHORAGE. REFERENCED AIRTEL REQUESTED ANCHORAGE TO THOROUGHLY INTERVIEW DRAGONETTI CONCERNING THESE RECORDS FOR ALL INFORMATION HE COULD FURNISH INCLUDING TIME SUCH RECORDS ACTUALLY PREPARED AND FILED, IDENTITY OF PERSON SIGNING ANOFRIO GIOE TO SUBJECT GIOE'S DELAYED BIRTH REGISTRATION, MANNER IN WHICH SUCH RECORDS ENTERED THE COOK COUNTY BUREAU OF VITAL STATISTICS, PURPOSE OF SUCH DELAYED REGISTRATION, IT BEING NOTED THERE IS AN ALLEGED CONCURRENT BIRTH RECORD FOR SUBJECT GIOE IN COOK COUNTY RECORDS, AND ANY AND ALL INFORMATION DRAGONETTI HAS AS TO THE TRUE DATE AND PLACE OF BIRTH OF SUBJECT GIOE AND HIS KNOWLEDGE THEREOF AND WHEN SUBJECT AND FAMILY CAME TO US AND CHICAGO. THAT HE SHOULD ALSO BE QUESTIONED CONCERNING THE

AIR TEL

PAGE FOUR

CONCURRENT BIRTH RECORD OF SUBJECT GIRL FOR INFORMATION HE MIGHT HAVE ABOUT TIME AND MANNER SUCH RECORD WAS MADE, THAT RECORD BEING A HANDWRITTEN ENTRY IN BIRTH RECORDS FOR YEAR NINETEEN FOUR, BUT NOT SUPPORTED BY DOCTORS CERTIFICATE OR AFFIDAVIT NORMALLY REQUIRED AT THAT TIME. WFO WAS REQUESTED TO ASCERTAIN THROUGH CSC DRAGONETTI'S PRESENT WHEREABOUTS AND FURNISH ANCHORAGE WITH INFORMATION TO LOCATE DRAGONETTI FOR INTERVIEW. FILES OF CSC REFLECT JOHN E. DRAGONETTI, BORN 2-22-02, BROTHER OR RACHEL DRAGONETTI OF 3525 WRIGHTWOOD, CHICAGO, EMPLOYED 11-12-52 AS INDUSTRIAL COST ACCOUNTANT, DEPARTMENT OF ARMY, NEW YORK REGIONAL OFFICE, IND DIVISION, OVERSEAS BRANCH, 180 VARICK STREET, NYC. NY REQUESTED TO CONTACT REGIONAL OFFICE, DEPARTMENT OF ARMY FOR DRAGONETTI'S PRESENT WHEREABOUTS, AND IF DRAGONETTI NOW LOCATED OUTSIDE OF ANCHORAGE, SET OUT LEAD TO HAVE HIM INTERVIEWED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS AIRTEL. EXPEDITE. CHICAGO ORIGN. RUC

HOOD

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Mr. Ladd

DATE: April 2, 1953

FROM : Mr. Rosen

SUBJECT: LOUIS CAMPAGNA, et al
BRIBERY
PAROLE MATTER
FALSELY CLAIMING CITIZENSHIP
PERJURY

Tolson
Ladd
Nichols
Belmont
Clegg
Glavin
Harbo
Rosen
Tracy
Gearty
Mohr
Winterrowd
Tele. Room
Holloman
Sizoo
Miss Gandy

G.I.R. -9

By memorandum dated March 31, 1953, Assistant Attorney General Warren Olney III asked, as a matter of general interest, the date and place of death of Phillip D'Andrea. He also asked if Allen Bernard mentioned in the summary report of this case had been interviewed. Mr. Hoover instructed that the reply be expedited.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the attached memorandum be sent to Mr. Olney advising him that the Chicago Sun-Times reported that Phillip D'Andrea died of a heart attack on September 18, 1952, at Riverside, California. Also that Allen Bernard was interviewed by Bureau Agents on December 5, 1952, and a report setting forth the interview was transmitted to the Department on December 9, 1952.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 3/14/95 BY SP5 alm

RECORDED-20 158-2000-2459

Attachment

CGH:js

63 APR 24 1953

FD-72
(1-10-49)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

FORM No. 1
THIS CASE ORIGINATED AT CHICAGO

FILE NO.

REPORT MADE AT	DATE WHEN MADE	PERIOD FOR WHICH MADE	REPORT MADE BY
LOS ANGELES	4/1/53	3/9,16-25,30/53	sae SA LOGAN J. LANE, JR.

TITLE	CHARACTER OF CASE
LOUIS CAMPAGNA, was., Et Al	BRIBERY; PAROLE MATTERS; FALSELY CLAIMING U.S. CITIZENSHIP

SYNOPSIS OF FACTS:

1-1 CM
2-1 CM
5-1 CM

1cc dept
4-6-53 jb

ROBERT WILLIAM BOOS stated it is his recollection Mrs. BILLIE W. ROHMER (sometimes referred to as Mrs. BILLIE ROMA) was the traveling companion of Mrs. MAE BELCHER on one trip to Chicago when Mrs. BELCHER was negotiating with MAURY HUGHES and others regarding matter under current investigation. BOOS recalls only having heard the name IRENE HENRY mentioned by Mrs. BELCHER and her daughter. Mrs. ROHMER, upon interview, advised she made trip about November, 1946 to Chicago with MAE BELCHER. ROHMER claimed to be only traveling companion and to have no connection with any business transactions of MAE BELCHER on that trip. MAURY HUGHES accompanied them from Dallas to Chicago and return. NED BAKES met train in Chicago on arrival and took them to hotel she believes was Stevens. Following day MAE BELCHER and MAURY HUGHES had conferences in his room, nature of which Mrs. ROHMER had no information. That evening, MURRAY HUMPHRIES was host at dinner party at Chez Paree Night Club, Chicago, attended by BELCHER, ROHMER, HUGHES, BAKES, and HUMPHRIES. The following day ROHMER, BELCHER, and HUGHES returned by train to Texas. MURRAY HUMPHRIES saw them off at station. Mrs. ROHMER ~~could~~ PROCESSING

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 3/14/95 BY SP5CA/Chr

APPROVED AND FORWARDED: J.D.M.

SPECIAL AGENT IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN THESE SPACES

RECORDED - 58

58-1200-2460

SE 46

COPIES OF THIS REPORT

(4) - Bureau (58-2000) (AM)
3 - Chicago (58-194) (AM)
2 - Dallas (58-59) (AM)
2 - New York (58-490) (AM)
1 - Los Angeles (58-125)

PROPERTY OF FBI—This confidential report and its contents are loaned to you by the FBI and are not to be distributed outside of agency to which loaned.

78 APR 15 1953

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE 16—60637-1

identify any other persons connected with matter except EARL WELCH, with whom she has had personal acquaintance for a number of years. States WELCH once told her he was offered $100,000 for each of various persons in penitentiary for whom he could obtain release. She was not furnished details of transaction. She has seen photograph taken at Paris Inn Night Club, Los Angeles, about January 18, 1946, which included EARL JEFFERSON and CATHERINE WELCH, MAE and ANDERSON M. BELCHER, VICTOR C. CARTER (now deceased and former fiance of Mrs. ROHMER), IRENE HENRY, and FORTUNE GALLO. Mr. and Mrs. A. M. BELCHER were registered at Ambassador Hotel, LA, 12/17/45 to 1/21/46.

- RUC -

DETAILS:

At Los Angeles, California

ROBERT WILLIAM BOOS, 204 South Beverly Drive, Beverly Hills, California, telephone BRadshaw 2-2714, confirmed on March 9, 1953, that the name of the traveling companion of Mrs. MAE BELCHER on one of her trips to Chicago was Mrs. BILLIE W. ROHMER (sometimes called Mrs. BILLIE ROMA). BOOS said he has no knowledge of her whereabouts except it was his information that Mrs. ROHMER formerly lived with a "wealthy Swede" with whom she was not married and that she inherited the estate of that person.

BOOS stated he has heard Mrs. MAE BELCHER and his former wife, LILA BOOS, speak of an IRENE HENRY, although he has no recollection of having met Miss HENRY and has no knowledge of any person having perished in a fire at Phoenix, Arizona, such as is the alleged fate of Miss HENRY. BOOS remarked that he is unable to furnish any other data regarding IRENE HENRY. This name, he said, did not bring to his mind the identity of any male companion of IRENE HENRY who might have been present at the Paris Inn dinner party in Los Angeles about January 18, 1946, at which time a photograph was taken of the party.

L. A.58-125

Miss HELEN SHARON MACKIE, formerly Mrs. BEECHIE B. WALPOLE, 562 South Fairfax, Los Angeles, YOrk 0343, advised on March 9, 1953, that her mother, BILLIE ROHMER, was out of the city vacationing with friends and would return to Los Angeles about March 17, 1953. She stated that her mother resides with her in Los Angeles and would be available for interview upon her return to the city. Repeated contacts with Miss MACKIE determined that her mother extended her vacation and was first available for interview in Los Angeles on March 30, 1953.

Mrs. BILLIE W. ROHMER, 562 South Fairfax, Los Angeles, telephone YOrk 0343, was interviewed on March 30, 1953, at her apartment by SAs FRANK H. TOWNSEND and the reporting agent. During a portion of the interview, Mrs. ROHMER's daughter, Miss MACKIE, was present.

Mrs. ROHMER stated that she was reared in New Orleans and in pronouncing her name the phonetic spelling would be ROMA. She stated that her deceased husband was a doctor and she has been engaged in the oil leasing business for some years because her husband had been interested in the oil business prior to his death.

She stated she had met Mrs. MAE BELCHER in Los Angeles and had found her to be an entertaining person. Mrs. ROHMER was asked to describe a trip she took to Chicago with MAE BELCHER, and she stated she could well recall the trip because she made only one trip with MAE BELCHER. She related the following story:

In October, 1946 she traveled to Dallas, Texas, to visit her sister and remained away from Los Angeles until after New Year's of 1947. While visiting in Dallas, she occasionally called to see Mrs. MAE BELCHER at the latter's residence in Fort Worth, Texas. MAE BELCHER had utilized a secretary to travel with her and handle some of her affairs, inasmuch as she claimed to be unable to read or write. For some reason the secretary was no longer available to Mrs. BELCHER, and she asked Mrs. ROHMER to travel with her as her secretary. Mrs. ROHMER declined to be employed by MAE BELCHER but stated she would travel with Mrs. BELCHER if Mrs. BELCHER would pay all the traveling

expenses and as compensation for her services, Mrs. ROHMER would take a percentage of any oil deals accomplished by Mrs. BELCHER. This was agreed to and shortly thereafter, Mrs. BELCHER planned a trip to Chicago, asking Mrs. ROHMER to accompany her. Prior to departing on the trip and without explaining to Mrs. ROHMER the nature of the business to be transacted in Chicago, Mrs. BELCHER asked Mrs. ROHMER to purchase from her a suit of clothing, a hat, and pair of shoes. Mrs. ROHMER explained Mrs. BELCHER is a lavish dresser, purchasing five or six suits at a time from the designer ADRIAN and as being a person who does not hesitate to pay as much as $250 for a slip or nightgown. Mrs. ROHMER said she had no need for the clothing and so informed Mrs. BELCHER, whereupon Mrs. BELCHER commenced to cry and stated that the true reason for her desire to sell the suit was that she was financially embarrassed and did not have sufficient money to pay Mrs. ROHMER's traveling expenses to Chicago. Mrs. ROHMER took pity on Mrs. BELCHER and then purchased the clothing for $250 and Mrs. BELCHER paid Mrs. ROHMER's traveling expenses to Chicago.

Mrs. ROHMER stated she remembers the trip to Chicago very well for when they boarded the train there had been a mix-up of reservations and the car to which they were assigned had no compartment, although the two women had purchased a compartment for the trip. She said MAE BELCHER raised such a furor with the train crew that the railroad company attached another car to the train, and they had practically a private railway car for the entire trip to Chicago. When the train reached the suburban station called Highland Park, near Dallas, it was boarded by Dallas attorney MAURY HUGHES. Mrs. ROHMER had not known previously that HUGHES was to be on this trip, although on some prior occasion Mrs. BELCHER had introduced Mrs. ROHMER to HUGHES.

Prior to arrival of the train in Chicago, they had their dinner aboard the train and upon its arrival, NED BAKES was there to meet them. He had a car driven by "a small dried-up sort of man." Mrs. ROHMER stated she does not recall the identity of that individual, if she ever did know his true name. She said Mrs. BELCHER had introduced her to BAKES on some prior occasion so that she recognized him when she saw him at the station, although she cannot now

recall just where she met BAKES. BAKES took the party in his car and they proceeded to the hotel where they were to stay. Mrs. ROHMER said she now thinks they stayed at the Stevens Hotel, although she is not positive. She said she and MAE BELCHER had a room together and that MAURY HUGHES had a room on the same floor of the hotel but down the corridor and around the corner into another hallway. She said the door to the room occupied by HUGHES could not be observed from the entrance to the room occupied by MAE BELCHER and herself.

Mrs. ROHMER was asked to place the date of the trip as accurately as possible, and she said she has no memorandum other notations to place the date but that it could very well have been in November, 1946 as she recalls having traveled from Los Angeles to Dallas in October, 1946 and remaining there until after the first of the year, 1947. The trip to Chicago was sometime during that three month period, and she thought that MAE BELCHER made only one trip to Chicago during that time.

The day following their arrival in Chicago, MAE BELCHER and MAURY HUGHES had conferences in HUGHES' hotel room throughout the entire day. Mrs. ROHMER said she did not attend the conferences, and she did not know what they were about. She said she did not observe persons engaged in the conferences with them. In the evening, MAE BELCHER told Mrs. ROHMER that she did not know whether she could take Mrs. ROHMER to dinner. Mrs. ROHMER replied that she would entertain herself and that Mrs. BELCHER should go ahead with her business transactions. Shortly thereafter, MAE BELCHER returned to the hotel room accompanied by MAURY HUGHES and another man. She said she recalled the complimentary remarks made by the second man concerning the clothing worn by Mrs. ROHMER. She said she was wearing a new suit and hat for the first time and that the costume was quite striking. She said this individual was introduced to her and upon viewing a photograph of MURRAY HUMPHRIES she identified the individual as being identical with the photograph. She said HUMPHRIES was the host for the evening, and she and MAE BELCHER, MAURY HUGHES, and HUMPHRIES proceeded to the Chez Paree Night Club in Chicago. NED BAKES

either accompanied them from the hotel to the club or met the party at the club to join them for dinner. Mrs. ROHMER said that BAKES was sort of "assigned" to take care of her during the evening. She recalled that SOPHIE TUCKER was the chief entertainer at the Chez Paree on that occasion. Following the show, as is her custom, Miss TUCKER offered copies of her book for sale and MURRAY HUMPHRIES bought one for MAE BELCHER and handed SOPHIE TUCKER a $100 bill. Mrs. ROHMER said she has seen Miss TUCKER on numerous occasions in night clubs and knows that Miss TUCKER collects funds in this manner for certain charities. She said HUMPHRIES then turned to her and asked her if she would like to have one of Miss TUCKER's books. HUMPHRIES handed Miss TUCKER another $100 bill and obtained an autographed copy of the book for Mrs. ROHMER. She said she has the book now with other property in storage and it is not immediately available.

While at the Chez Paree that evening, HUMPHRIES suggested they look around the club, and they were shown through heavily drapped doors into an adjacent hall which contained all kinds of gambling equipment in the manner of a gambling casino.

Mrs. ROHMER said she became suspicious of the Chicago associates of Mrs. BELCHER when she saw HUMPHRIES passing out the $100 bills and when she observed the great amount of attention the party obtained from those in charge of the night club so she asked MAE BELCHER in the ladies restroom just who were the members of the party from Chicago. She said MAE BELCHER told her that HUMPHRIES was a prominent attorney and that Mrs. ROHMER should not ask questions about her associates. Mrs. ROHMER stated she became extremely angry with Mrs. BELCHER and told her that if she were to have anything to do with Mrs. BELCHER all of their transactions had to be legitimate and that she did not like the appearances of the Chicago associates of Mrs. BELCHER.

At the conclusion of the evening at the Chez Paree, they were returned to their hotel. Mrs. ROHMER said BAKES had consumed a fifth of bourbon whiskey during the evening, and she considered him drunk when they arrived back at the

hotel. BAKES insisted he was going up to the hotel room and Mrs. ROHMER said she positively refused the suggestion. Mrs. BELCHER tried to argue her into letting BAKES go to the room. MAE BELCHER and Mrs. ROHMER proceeded to their hotel room and MAE BELCHER said she had to go to see MAURY HUGHES for a minute. Mrs. ROHMER said it was between 3 and 5 AM at the time and she tried to dissuade Mrs. BELCHER but was unsuccessful. A few moments later a knock at the hotel room door was answered by Mrs. ROHMER, she thinking it was MAE BELCHER returning. When she opened the door, she saw NED BAKES standing in the hall, and he pushed himself into the room with the remark that he was going to stay. Mrs. ROHMER telephoned MAE BELCHER in HUGHES' room and asked her to return to their room, which she did, and Mrs. ROHMER asked Mrs. BELCHER to get BAKES out of their room. She said BAKES undressed to his shorts and got into one of the twin beds. MAE BELCHER told Mrs. ROHMER that BAKES was drunk, that she could not get him out, and that he would "pass out" and cause no harm. BAKES dropped into a very heavy sleep and MAE BELCHER said she had to go back to finish her conversation with HUGHES, although Mrs. ROHMER begged not to be left alone with BAKES. Mrs. BELCHER promised to be back in a few moments and Mrs. ROHMER said she was so frightened she sat up in a chair in the room for the balance of the night. She said MAE BELCHER came back to their room after daylight and ordered breakfast sent to the room. MAURY HUGHES came to their room and they awakened BAKES. The four of them had breakfast in the room and BAKES then departed.

Mrs. ROHMER, MAE BELCHER, and HUGHES departed for Texas by train, she believes, that night and MURRAY HUMPHRIES was at the train to see them off.

Photographs submitted by the Chicago Office were shown to Mrs. ROHMER, and she identified the photograph of NED C. BAKES and the photograph of MURRAY LLEWELYN HUMPHRIES as the persons she had seen in Chicago. She also identified the photograph of Mrs. MAE BELCHER and the photograph of ANDERSON MILLS BELCHER. She observed photographs of the following individuals and stated she did not recognize any of them:

L. A. 58-125

ANTHONY J. ACCARDO
JOSEPH CORNGOLD
FRANCIS JEROME CURRY
PAUL DILLON
CHARLES FISCHETTI
ROCCO FISCHETTI
ANTHONY GIZZO
WILLIAM EDWARD HEENEY
PHILIP KATZ
WALTER THOMAS NOVAK

Mrs. ROHMER stated that she did not know that HUMPHRIES was an important person but she observed that he was trying to impress members of the party with his importance on the evening of the dinner at the Chez Paree. She said he was successful in getting for them a lot of service and she recalled a girl came to the table and wanted to take a photograph of the party. HUMPHRIES became angry and refused her permission to photograph the group. She said HUMPHRIES was a wonderful host, and she was not aware of his identity other than that MAE BELCHER told her he was an attorney.

Upon their return to Texas, Mrs. ROHMER went to the home of MAE BELCHER in Fort Worth, obtained her clothing and personal effects, and returned to her sister's home in Dallas. She said from that time forward she attempted to gradually break off her association with MAE BELCHER. She has remained friendly yet has tried to maintain the contact at a bare minimum. She said she has learned from someone that she should never entirely sever her relationship with MAE BELCHER because Mrs. BELCHER has a reputation of being extremely ruthless against persons whom she dislikes.

Mrs. ROHMER stated she met MAE BELCHER through EARL JEFFERSON WELCH. She said she was formerly engaged to marry VICTOR C. CARTER, who passed away on February 18, 1948. He was engaged in the oil business, and she said she was associated with CARTER in that business. She is now settling the estate of Mr. CARTER.

Mrs. ROHMER related that CARTER was involved in an oil production operation several years ago in which he and his associates desired to drill an oil well on certain property and they needed $50,000 to pay the expenses of the

drilling. In some manner, Mr. CARTER and associates engaged MAE BELCHER to raise the $50,000 for them. MAE BELCHER insisted she obtain $5,000 expense money prior to undertaking the venture and that Mrs. BELCHER failed to raise the amount of money, although she kept the expense money. Mrs. ROHMER said she thought Mrs. BELCHER merely "took" Mr. CARTER for $5,000, although Mrs. BELCHER claimed that she had not been allowed sufficient time to perform her part of the agreement. She said Mr. CARTER was "taken" a second time for $5,000 and that he was extremely upset over both transactions. She related that MAE BELCHER and EARL WELCH were promoting a ski lodge in Idaho and desired CARTER to enter the transaction with them. They insisted that CARTER put up $5,000 as they each claimed they had put in $5,000 on the promotion. Mrs. ROHMER said she subsequently learned that MAE BELCHER and EARL WELCH split the $5,000 between them, and Mr. CARTER lost the entire $5,000 he put up on the deal.

Later Mrs. ROHMER arranged a meeting in her apartment in Los Angeles for EARL WELCH, MAE BELCHER, and CARTER to see if it would not be possible for Mr. CARTER to recover at least a portion of the $10,000 he had lost. She said the meeting was congenial but that the money was not repaid. After the group departed from her apartment, EARL WELCH returned and had a talk with her. She said EARL WELCH told her he had been on a trip with MAE BELCHER to Chicago and New York and that while in New York he and MAE BELCHER got in a fight. She said that she asked EARL WELCH to tell her all about the matter because she had never been furnished any details by MAE BELCHER. She said WELCH told her that while in New York he and other members of the group, whom she thought included MAURY HUGHES and some Government employee, who might have been connected with the United States Attorney's Office at Dallas, were staying in a suite of rooms in a hotel in New York. EARL WELCH claimed he lost several hundred dollars from his trouser pockets while staying there and strongly indicated he suspected MAE BELCHER took the money. He remarked that others in the party also had money taken from their possession on that occasion and they likewise suspected MAE BELCHER of having taken the money. EARL WELCH continued that someone, whom he did not identify, had come to him in Los Angeles and offered him $100,000 for each of several persons then in the penitentiary if WELCH could obtain their release. He related

that he had gone to the Ambassador Hotel in Los Angeles where MAE BELCHER was stopping at the time and had met an individual she thought might have been NED BAKES in front of the hotel. While they were conversing regarding the above offer, MAE BELCHER came up to them. WELCH told Mrs. ROHMER he had no intention of introducing MAE BELCHER to NED BAKES but that MAE BELCHER came up to them and introduced herself. According to the story told to Mrs. ROHMER by WELCH, "MAE BELCHER blew in the next day, had been to the races with BAKES, and had the deal all sewed up for herself." WELCH claimed he got nothing at all in a financial way for his connection with the transaction. He related that he had an appointment with MAE BELCHER in Dallas, Texas, on a particular occasion and that she called him to cancel the appointment, saying she was sick. WELCH claimed he thought she was not telling the truth and he immediately proceeded to the office of MAURY HUGHES in Dallas. While WELCH was waiting in the reception room, MAE BELCHER walked in to see HUGHES. WELCH related to Mrs. ROHMER that MAE BELCHER and MAURY HUGHES had a private conversation in HUGHES' office but that the conversation became so heated that he could overhear the controversy in the reception room. The controversy was over money and, according to WELCH's story to Mrs. ROHMER, MAURY HUGHES claimed he did not get any money out of the deal, that the others had "ratted out." WELCH stated that as MAE BELCHER departed from HUGHES' office, WELCH asked MAURY HUGHES if there would be any money in the entire transaction for him, and WELCH said HUGHES told him there was no money to be had from it, so WELCH said he did not pursue the matter further. WELCH told Mrs. ROHMER that MAE BELCHER got about $5,000 on the entire transaction, although he did not identify the source of the funds. Mrs. ROHMER stated it is the common practice of MAE BELCHER to always demand expense money whenever she enters a transaction, so she assumes MAE BELCHER insisted on expense money before she contacted anyone.

It was Mrs. ROHMER's understanding from her conversation with EARL WELCH that MAE BELCHER had promised EARL WELCH a portion of the money she might obtain from the transaction.

Mrs. ROHMER was asked if she ever attended a dinner party with FORTUNE GALLO in Los Angeles. She said she and Mr. CARTER attended a dinner party at the Paris Inn Night Club in Los Angeles on one occasion when FORTUNE GALLO was present. She said this was during the opera season and the general manager of the San Francisco Opera Company also attended the dinner party as did EARL WELCH and his wife, CATHERINE, MAE BELCHER and ANDY BELCHER. She said no photograph was taken of this group.

She was asked whether she had ever seen a photograph of a party at the Paris Inn Night Club and she stated that a photograph was taken of another party at sometime prior to the one she had described. She said she did not attend the earlier party herself but that Mr. VICTOR C. CARTER attended the party and seated beside him was IRENE HENRY. She said that others attending the party were EARL and CATHERINE WELCH, MAE and ANDERSON BELCHER, and she thought FORTUNE GALLO also was in attendance. She said she had seen the photograph as Mr. CARTER had one of them and she inquired of him as to the identity of the woman seated beside him. She described IRENE HENRY as a very beautiful and small-sized woman. She said she met IRENE HENRY in Dallas, Texas at some subsequent date in 1946. She described IRENE HENRY as having resided in Beverly Hills for a time but she does not now know where IRENE HENRY may reside. It was suggested to her that perhaps IRENE HENRY is deceased and she said she had no such knowledge, although that could be true. She remarked that IRENE HENRY was reportedly engaged to some man in the Los Angeles area who lavished gifts upon her; then when the promised marriage failed to occur, he demanded a return of numerous gifts, including a residence, which he had given to her.

Mrs. ROHMER stated she had accompanied MAE BELCHER to Santa Anita Park Race Track, Arcadia, California, on a number of occasions and also had accompanied her to the races at Hollywood Park Track, Inglewood, California. She said that MAE BELCHER maintained a membership in the Turf Club and that this membership allowed her to take guests to the club, although she was required to telephone in advance stating the number of guests she would have on a particular day. The names of the guests were never recorded, she said. Mrs.

ROHMER stated that EARL WELCH also attended the races with them, and she remembered one occasion when MAE BELCHER became extremely angry with EARL WELCH because he did not pick up the check. She stated she does not recall having attended the races at any time when FORTUNE GALLO was present but that MAE BELCHER, VICTOR CARTER, and FORTUNE GALLO had attended the races at Santa Anita on several occasions. She said she thought MAE BELCHER was trying to promote some deal with FORTUNE GALLO, although she could not remember the details of it nor even the general outline of the scheme.

About a year after her trip to Chicago with MAE BELCHER, Mrs. ROHMER read in the newspapers that ANDERSON BELCHER had been subpoenaed to testify in Chicago regarding the release of certain individuals from prison. She said that the BELCHERs were in Los Angeles at that time and she saw them on one occasion when the matter was discussed. She said that at that time MAE BELCHER spoke to ANDY BELCHER, saying, "See, I told you BILLIE is our friend. I have told you all along that we could trust her." Mrs. ROHMER stated this apparently was prompted by the comment from her that she knew nothing about MAE BELCHER's transactions regarding that matter. She said she suspected she had been the subject of conversation between the BELCHERs because of something they might have thought she could tell, although she said it was pure speculation on her part. Upon reflection, following the trip to Chicago, she said she wondered whether MAE BELCHER had insisted on NED BAKES staying in their hotel room on the particular evening in order to compromise Mrs. ROHMER to the extent that she would not divulge any information regarding Mrs. BELCHER in the event she should obtain it. Mrs. ROHMER remarked that she had told the entire truth about the matter to the best of her recollection, that she had never told the details of BAKES' visit to her room to anyone else and would greatly appreciate being protected on the divulging of that information. She was emphatic that if she had known anything regarding the nature of MAE BELCHER's trip to Chicago she would not have accompanied her and that she has never learned of the nature of the business from MAE BELCHER, deriving all of her data from EARL WELCH. She said EARL WELCH telephoned her about six weeks ago and pretended he was the manager of the San Francisco Opera Company in attempting to recall to her mind the dinner party

at the Paris Inn Night Club. She said she did not recognize his voice nor could she place the incident at the moment, and he finally admitted his identity. He said that an investigation was being conducted of the release of the various prisoners about whom he had talked to her on one occasion and that FBI Agents had interviewed him regarding it. He said he was trying to recall the name of the manager of the San Francisco Opera Company who attended the mentioned dinner party, and he thought that perhaps Mrs. ROHMER could remember the man's name. She said she could not remember the name. Mrs. ROHMER remarked she had not heard from EARL WELCH for several years prior to this telephone call and that she had no interest in hearing from him because she considered that WELCH had defrauded Mr. CARTER along with MAE BELCHER.

Mrs. ROHMER stated that MAE BELCHER became involved in a proposition to sell bottled water, something like 7-Up, and claimed to have a franchise for the West Coast. She wanted Mrs. ROHMER to associate with her in this promotion and Mrs. ROHMER stated that although she humored MAE BELCHER on the deal she had no intention of ever investing money in it. She remarked that MAE BELCHER could raise more money than any person she had ever seen. She said MAE BELCHER likes to gamble and she accompanied MAE and ANDY BELCHER to various gambling places in the vicinity of Dallas, Texas, on a number of occasions. She said MAE BELCHER gambled heavily and was a consistent loser, often borrowing money from Mrs. ROHMER, repaying it the next day. Mrs. ROHMER stated that the BELCHERs kept funds in cashier's checks of $1,000 denomination, and she frequently received such checks in repayment of loans she had made to MAE BELCHER. Mrs. ROHMER remarked that she had often commented the BELCHERs must keep such checks in boxes under the beds as the supply seemed inexhaustible.

Mrs. ROHMER said her daughter, HELEN, was recently in Dallas, Texas, and visited briefly with Mrs. BELCHER and LILA BOOS at the residence of Mrs. BELCHER. She said that she and her daughter retain a social acquaintance with the BELCHERs on a scale as limited as they feel possible.

In describing MAE BELCHER, Mrs. ROHMER stated that Mrs. BELCHER claims she is divorced from ANDERSON BELCHER, although she continues to live with him. Mrs. ROHMER

remarked she believes it is true that MAE BELCHER is unable to read or write and she said she has observed Mrs. BELCHER sign her name with considerable difficulty. She said Mrs. BELCHER has told her of promotions conducted on a "shoe string" which netted fabulous returns to Mrs. BELCHER and described her as the type of woman with a superior business acumen.

Mr. HARRY S. JENKINSON, Assistant Manager, Ambassador Hotel, 3400 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, telephone DUnkirk 7-7011, examined the arrival and departure register and located a record reflecting that Mr. and Mrs. A. M. BELCHER were guests of the hotel from December 17, 1945, to January 21, 1946. Mr. JENKINSON stated that the BELCHERs had stayed at the Ambassador Hotel on a number of occasions and he is personally acquainted with them. He said the hotel has destroyed telephone toll slips that are as old as 1946.

It is observed that, according to the hotel record, MAE BELCHER was residing at the Ambassador Hotel at the time of the dinner party mentioned earlier in this investigation as having taken place at the Paris Inn Night Club on January 18, 1946.

- RUC -

L. A. 58-125

ADMINISTRATIVE PAGE

Records of the Retail Merchants Credit Association, Los Angeles, reflect a report dated 10/27/52 on HELEN S. MACKIE, aka Helen Sharon Mackie, 562 South Fairfax, Los Angeles. She is described as divorced from BEECHIE B. WALPOLE, having received a Nevada divorce from him and having the name of MACKIE legally restored to her. WALPOLE was listed as the president of the West Pico Furniture Co., Los Angeles. HELEN MACKIE had claimed to others to be in the oil leasing business. This was confirmed by her mother, Mrs. BILLIE W. ROHMER upon interview.

Rerep of SA MANNING C. CLEMENTS dated 3/5/53 at Dallas, Texas. The lead for Los Angeles to determine from EARL WELCH whether he met MURRAY HUMPHRIES at the Stevens Hotel or elsewhere in Chicago in April 1946 was covered at the interview with WELCH in Los Angeles on 2/20/53. Results of that inquiry are found at page 21 of the report of SA LOGAN J. LANE, JR., dated 3/3/53 at Los Angeles. In substance, WELCH viewed a photograph of HUMPHRIES and denied that he knew HUMPHRIES or had ever met him.

Copies of this report are being furnished the New York Office because of information related regarding the trip to New York City by EARL WELCH and MAE BELCHER and data concerning the identity of persons attending the dinner party at the Paris Inn Night Club at Los Angeles, there being a photograph of that party in the possession of FORTUNE GALLO in New York City, which he refused to furnish to Bureau Agents.

Copies of this report are furnished the Dallas Office for assistance in future interviews with MAE BELCHER.

REFERENCES: Report of SA MANNING C. CLEMENTS, 3/5/53, at Dallas, Texas;
Los Angeles airtels to Bureau, Chicago, and Dallas dated 3/9; 3/18; 3/25/53.

12733

FBI CHICAGO 4-2-53 4-13 PM MLL

DIRECTOR AND SAC NEW YORK URGENT

62-1

G.I.R. 9

LOUIS CAMPAGNA, WAS., ET AL, BRIBERY, PAROLE MATTER, FCUSC, PERJURY.

REAIRTEL FROM WFO MARCH THIRTIETH LAST TO LOCATE AND INTERVIEW DRAGONETTI. IN EVENT WHEREABOUTS DRAGONETTI DETERMINED IN OTHER THAN ANCHORAGE OR WFO DIVISION, NEW YORK ADVISE CHICAGO SO THAT COPIES OF BIRTH RECORDS AND ADDITIONAL PERTIENT INFO MAY BE FURNISHED PRIOR TO INTERVIEW OF DRAGONETTI. 58-3000-2461

RECORDED - 57

WEATHERFORD

END AND ACK PLS

5-14 PM OK FBI WA EAB

ON FBI NYC 4-13-53 JM

3/14/95 SPSalda

Assistant Attorney General
Warren Olney III

April 2, 1953

Director, FBI

RECORDED - 24 62

12731

LOUIS CAMACHO, et al
PERJURY
SUBVERSIVE ACTIVITIES CONTROL BOARD
PERJURY

G.I.R.-9

The following information is furnished in reply to your memorandum of March 31, 1953. (WO:mmr 123-51-18)

You asked when and where Anthony D'Andrea died. The September 21, 1952, issue of the Chicago Daily Sun-Times reported that D'Andrea died of a heart attack September 18, 1952, at a Riverside, California, hotel. His body was taken to Chicago for burial. You also asked whether Allen Bernard had ever been interviewed in person by Bureau Agents. He was interviewed on December 5, 1952, by Agents of our New York Office at which time he refused to reveal the identity of his informants. The interview was reported by Special Agent John T. Lefkere on December 5, 1952. A copy of the report was furnished the then Attorney General on December 9, 1952.

CGH:js

3/14/95 SPS c/an

RECEIVED DIRECTOR
U.S. DEPT. OF JUSTICE
APR 3 12 59 PM '53

Tolson
Ladd
Nichols
Belmont
Clegg
Glavin
Harbo
Rosen
Tracy
Laughlin
Mohr
Winterrowd
Tele. Rm.
Holloman
Gandy

RECORDED
APR 3 - 1953
MAILED 10

APR 24 1953

STANDARD FORM NO. 64

Office Memorandum · UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

12732

TO : Director, Federal Bureau of Investigation DATE:

FROM : Warren Olney III, Assistant Attorney General, Criminal Division

SUBJECT: Louis Campagna, et al.; Bribery, parole matter, falsely claiming citizenship, perjury

123-51-18

Reference is made to your memorandum addressed to the Attorney General on the above subject under date of March 24, 1953, to which is attached the summary report of Special Agent John R. Phillips, Jr., dated March 13, 1953.

In the second paragraph on page 38 of Mr. Phillips' report, Phillip D'Andrea is referred to as "now deceased." As a matter of general interest, I would like to know where and when Phillip D'Andrea died. I recollect that only a few months ago he was reported to me as being engaged in the operation of a gasoline service station in the neighborhood of Corona, San Bernardino County, California.*

Beginning on page 36 of Mr. Phillips' report certain allegations of bribery, influence and misconduct relating to paroles of the subject of the investigation are set forth, which allegations are attributed to Allen Bernard, 413 East 52nd Street, New York, New York. According to the report, these allegations were received by the Bureau in the form of a written memorandum from Robert A. Collier, Chief Counsel for the Chelf Committee, setting forth what Bernard had supplied to Collier concerning this matter. Although the Bureau has evidently investigated Bernard's allegation, it is not clear to me whether the Bureau agents have ever interviewed Allen Bernard in person, and if not, why not. I would like to be informed about this.**

* Being Checked — SEE CLIPPING ATTACHED.

** BERNARD WAS INTERVIEWED.

Being checked and memo prepared.

RECORDED - 40

62-2000-2462

RECEIVED

cc Foster & Supervisor

AIRTEL

NO WASH FIELD 4-6-53 4:30 PM

DIRECTOR, FBI NEW YORK DEFERRED
CHICAGO (58-194)

LOUIS [illegible], FSC; ET AL; ESPIONAGE. PERSONAL MATTER, [illegible]; OO:WFO.

RE CG AIRTELS MARCH TWENTYFOUR, FIFTYTHREE AND APRIL ONE, FIFTYTHREE AND WFO AIRTEL MARCH THIRTY, FIFTYTHREE TO DIR, NY AND CG. RECORDS OF RED CROSS LISTS NAME OF DRAGONETTI AS JOHN EUGENE DRAGONETTE. ALSO SINCE FORTYONE HE HAS SPELLED NAME DRAGONETTE, PRIOR TO THAT DRAGONETTI. BORN FEB. TWENTYTWO, NAUGHT TWO AT NYC ACCORDING TO BIRTH CERTIFICATE IN RED CROSS FILES. PHOTO OF JOHN EUGENE DRAGONETTE OBTAINED FROM PD WASH., D.C. AND THREE PERSONS IDENTIFIED HIM AS THE SAME PERSON AS JOHN ERNEST DRAGONETTI APPEARING IN PHOTO FURNISHED BY CG, APRIL ONE, FIFTYTHREE. INVEST WASH REVEALS LAST KNOWN RESIDENCE ADDRESS OF DRAGONETTE AS OF NOV., FIFTYTWO AS 514 CATHEDRAL ST., BA, MARYLAND. CSC RECORDS REFLECT DRAGONETTE EMPLOYED UNDER NAME OF JOHN E. DRAGONETTE AS INDUSTRIAL COST ACCOUNTANT, DEPT. OF ARMY, NY REGIONAL OFFICE, IND. DIV. OVERSEAS BRANCH 180 VARICK ST., NYC, NOV. ELEVEN.

EDT:DEB

58-261

AIRTEL

3/14/95 SP5a/aw
361586

2463

12730

FIFTYTWO AS SET FORTH IN WFO AIRTEL MARCH THIRTY, FIFTYTHREE. NY REQUESTED TO ADVISE WFO IF DRAGONETTE LOCATED AND IF NOT WFO WILL SEND LEAD TO BA TO LOCATE HIM. FOR INFO OF NY, CG AIRTEL APRIL ONE, FIFTYTHREE REQUESTED HANDWRITING SPECIMENS BE OBTAINED FROM DRAGONETTE. FOR INFO. OF CG WFO WILL FURNISH HANDWRITING SPECIMENS OF DRAGONETTE WHICH WILL BE OBTAINED FROM SELECTIVE SERVICE FILE.

HOOD